

# 35003 MODERN ALGEBRA

---

Prof Murray Elder, UTS

Week 7: rings, domains, fields, ideals, ring homomorphism

Lauritzen 3.1-3.3

**Definition**

A *ring* is an abelian group  $(R, +)$  with an additional composition  $\cdot : R \times R \rightarrow R$  called *multiplication*, which satisfies these axioms:

1. multiplication is associative:  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$
2. there exists an element  $1 \in R$  so that  $1 \cdot x = x = x \cdot 1$  for all  $x \in R$  (multiplicative identity)
3. distributive:  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$

The neutral element of the abelian group  $(R, +)$  is denoted 0.

Eg:  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  with  $+$  and multiplies.

Eg:  $R = \{0\}$

Eg:  $R = \{0, 1\}$

## Definition (3.1.1)

1. subring:  $S$  a subgroup of  $(R, +)$ ,  $1 \in S$  and  $x, y \in S$  implies  $xy \in S$
2.  $x \in R \setminus \{0\}$  is a *zero divisor* if  $\exists y \in R \setminus \{0\}$  with  $xy = 0$  or  $yx = 0$
3.  $x \in R$  is a *unit* if  $\exists y \in R$  with  $xy = 1 = yx$ .  
Ex: if  $x$  is a unit, the element  $y$  is unique.  
Then denote  $y$  as  $x^{-1}$ . Set of units is  $R^*$
4. commutative if  $xy = yx$  for all  $x, y \in R$

### Definition

5. domain: no zero divisors
6. field: every non-zero element is a unit;  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$
7. If  $K \subseteq L$  are fields and  $K$  is a subring of  $L$ , we call  $K$  a *subfield* of  $L$  and call  $L$  an *extension field* of  $K$ .

Examples of fields:  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$

So  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is an extension field of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## MORE EXAMPLES OF RINGS

1.  $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})$
2.  $\mathbb{C}$
3. Ring of quaternions  $\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$  where  $+$  is componentwise and multiplication is computed using the relations  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$ .

“non-commutative with a highly intricate multiplication”

For the rest of Lauritzen, we only consider commutative rings.

## Proposition (Prop 3.1.3)

*Let  $R$  be a domain and  $a, x, y \in R$ . If  $a \neq 0$  and  $ax = ay$  then  $x = y$ .*

Proof: If  $ax = ay$  then using the axioms of a ring,  $ax - ay = 0$  and  $a(x - y) = 0$ . Since we are in a domain,  $a$  and  $x - y$  are not zero divisors so we must have  $x - y = 0$  (since  $a \neq 0$  by assumption).

Thus  $x = y$ .



### Proposition (Prop 3.1.4)

*Every field  $F$  is a domain.*

Proof: Let  $x, y \in F$ ,  $x \neq 0$  and  $xy = 0$ .

Since we are in a field there is an element  $x^{-1} \in F$ , so  
 $y = x^{-1}xy = x^{-1}0 = 0$  by axioms of ring.

Thus  $x$  is not a zero divisor. □

Converse:  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a domain, but not a field since  $\mathbb{Z}^* = \{1, -1\}$ .

## EXAMPLE 3.1.5

Let  $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Ex: ( $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  with the usual addition and multiplication of complex numbers) is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Ex:  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is a field.

If  $z = a + ib$  then  $\frac{1}{z} = \frac{a - ib}{a^2 + b^2} \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$

Recall  $\bar{z} = a - ib$  and  $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ , and  $|z|^2 = z\bar{z} = a^2 + b^2$ .

Define  $N(z) = |z|^2$  called the *norm* of  $z$ .

Ex:  $N(z_1z_2) = N(z_1)N(z_2)$ .

Ex: if  $z = a + ib$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $N(z) \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## GAUSSIAN INTEGERS

Define  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ .

This is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and called the *ring of Gaussian integers*.

What are the units of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ? (hint: use the norm)

Since  $N(z_1z_2) = N(z_1)N(z_2)$ , if  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $xy = 1$  then  $N(xy) = 1 = N(x)N(y)$  so the norm of a unit has to be (positive) 1.

Thus  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  only if  $a = \pm 1$  or  $b = \pm 1$ , so

$$\mathbb{Z}[i]^* \subseteq \{\pm 1, \pm i\}.$$

To get = check that each of these is a unit.

Thus  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a domain (since it is a subring of the field  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ ) which is not a field.

Are prime numbers still prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ?

Eg:  $5 =$

A subset  $I \subseteq R$  is an *ideal* in  $R$  if it is a subgroup of  $(R, +)$  and  $\lambda x \in I$  for every  $\lambda \in R$ .

Eg:  $I = \{0\}$ .

Ex: if  $1 \in I$  then  $R = I$ .

Ex: If  $I \subseteq F$  is an ideal in a field  $F$ , either  $I = \{0\}$ , or  $x \in I$  for some  $x \neq 0$ , but then  $\lambda = x^{-1}$  means

Let  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ , then  $\langle r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle = \{\lambda_1 r_1 + \dots + \lambda_n r_n \mid \lambda_i \in R\}$  is an ideal.

If  $I$  is an ideal that is equal to  $\langle r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle$  for some finite subset  $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\} \subseteq R$  we say  $I$  is finitely generated.

If  $M$  is any subset of  $R$  (finite or infinite) then  
 $\langle f \mid f \in M \rangle = \{\text{finite linear combinations of } f_i \in M\}$

$$= \{\lambda_1 f_1 + \dots + \lambda_n f_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i \in M, \lambda_i \in R\}$$

Ex: If  $I, J$  are ideals then so are

1.  $I \cap J$

2.  $I + J = \{i + j \mid i \in I, j \in J\}$

3.  $IJ = \langle ij \mid i \in I, j \in J \rangle$ .

If  $I = \langle d \rangle$  for some  $d \in R$  then  $I$  is called a Principal Ideal.

If  $D$  is a domain such that every ideal is a principal ideal, then  $D$  is called a Principal Ideal Domain (PID).

**Proposition (3.1.10)**

$\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID.

$\mathbb{Z}$  has no zero divisors so is a domain.

Every subgroup  $H$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is either  $\{0\}$  or has a smallest positive element, say  $d$ .

Then for every  $n \in H$  we have  $n = qd + r$  (well ordering principle; division algorithm: start of Chapter 1 Lauritzen)

with  $0 \leq r < d$ . If  $r \neq 0$  then  $d$  was not the smallest positive element of  $H$ .

So the only possible ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are of the form  $d\mathbb{Z} = \langle d \rangle$  (since these are the only subgroups), and in fact they are ideals since  $\lambda(dn) = d(\lambda n)$  for all  $dn \in \langle d \rangle$ . □

Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  that is not  $\{0\}$ .

By the well ordering principle, the set  $\{N(d) \mid 0 \neq d = a + ib \in \mathbb{Z}[i]\}$  has a smallest value, so choose  $0 \neq d \in I$  so that  $N(d)$  is minimal.

Let  $z \in I$ , compute  $z/d = q_1 + q_2i$  where  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Draw lattice lines in the complex plane: any point is at most  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  away from a lattice point.

Choose  $x = c + id \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  to be a point within distance  $< 1$  of  $z/d$ , so

$$|z/d - x|^2 = N(z/d - x) < 1$$

Multiply both sides by  $N(d)$  (recall  $N(pq) = N(p)N(q)$ )

$$N(d)N(z/d - x) < N(d)$$

$$N(z - xd) < N(d)$$

But  $z \in I$ ,  $d \in I$  and  $x = \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  so  $(z - xd) \in I$  and has norm strictly smaller than  $N(d)$ , so its norm has to be 0 by the choice of  $d$ .

The only element of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  with norm 0 is  $0+0i$ , so  $z = xd$  and  $z \in \langle d \rangle$ .

Thus  $I \subseteq \langle d \rangle$ .

The other inclusion is clear since  $d \in I$  means  $\langle d \rangle \subseteq I$ . □

## WHAT ABOUT $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

We have

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

Question: is  $\langle 2, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$  a principal ideal?

## QUOTIENT RING

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ .

So  $I$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +)$  (abelian group) so  $I$  is automatically normal, and

$$R/I = \{x + I \mid x \in R\}$$

is a(n abelian) group (note we use additive notation here for the left cosets).

Let  $[x] = x + I$ . Then  $[x] + [y] = (x + y) + I = [x + y]$ .

We can make  $R/I$  into a ring by defining a multiplication and setting  $1 + I$  to be the multiplicative identity:

$$[x] \cdot [y] = [xy]$$

Ex: check that addition and multiplication of left cosets does not depend on the choice of coset rep (is well defined) **here is where we need  $I$  to be an ideal, not just a subgroup of  $(R, +)$**

NB:  $[0] = 0 + I$  so  $[x] = 0 \in R/I$  if and only if  $x \in I$ .

We proved the only ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $d\mathbb{Z}$ . A quotient ring of  $\mathbb{Z}$  must therefore look like  $\mathbb{Z}/I = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ .

Aha, that's why Lauritzen writes the cyclic group of order  $d$  like that!!!

## PROPOSITION 3.2.2

### Proposition (3.2.2)

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . The group of units  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$  is an abelian group with  $\varphi(d)$  elements (Euler's totient function, the number of  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  with  $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ )

Proof: if  $[x] = x + d\mathbb{Z}$  is a unit then  $\exists \lambda \in R$  so that  $[\lambda][x] = [1]$  so  $\lambda x - 1 \in I = d\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\lambda x - 1 = qd$  for some integers  $\lambda, q$ .

But

$$1 = \lambda x - qd$$

means if  $c \in \mathbb{N}_+$  divides both  $x$  and  $d$  then  $c$  divides 1, so  $\gcd(x, d) = 1$ .

Conversely if  $\gcd(x, d) = 1$  then by the Euclidean algorithm backwards we can find integers  $a, b$  so that  $ax + bd = 1$ . Then

$$[1] = [ax + bd] = [ax] + [bd] = [ax] + 0 = [a][x]$$

so  $[x]$  is a unit and belongs to  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$ .

## PROPOSITION 3.2.3

### Proposition (3.2.3)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a field if and only if  $n$  is a prime.

If  $n = 0$  then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$  is a domain.

If  $n$  is a composite number then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is not a domain.

Proof: Say  $n > 0$ .

By the previous result the size of the set of units is  $\varphi(n)$  which equals  $n - 1$  only when  $n$  is prime.

If  $n = ab$  with  $1 < a, b < n$  then  $[a], [b]$  are not  $[0]$  in  $n\mathbb{Z}$ , but  $[a][b] = [n] = [0]$  so they are zero divisors. □

## QUESTION

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ .

When is the quotient ring  $R/I$  a domain?

When is it a field?

If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  we can answer these, but more generally?

## WHEN IS $R/I$ A DOMAIN?

Suppose  $R/I$  is a domain (no zero divisors).

Then  $R/I \neq \{[0]\}$  and for every  $[x], [y] \in R/I$  if  $[x][y] = [0]$  then one of  $[x] = 0$  or  $[y] = 0$ .

Rephrasing this just in terms of the ideal  $I$  we have

$$I \neq R \quad \text{and} \quad \forall x, y \in R (xy \in I \text{ implies } x \in I \text{ or } y \in I)$$

Definition: an ideal  $I \in R$  is *prime* if it satisfies the previous line.

Ex: (3.21)  $I \subset R$  is a prime ideal iff  $R/I$  is a domain.

## WHEN IS $R/I$ A FIELD?

Same idea for fields: come up with a condition on  $I$  that makes  $R/I$  a field.

Suppose  $R/I$  is a field.

(A field can be  $\{[0]\}$  so Lauritzen typo here)

Being a field means every non-zero element (if there are any) have multiplicative inverses.

So every  $[x] \in R/I$  has a  $[y] \in R/I$  so that  $[x][y] = [1]$ .

## WHEN IS $R/I$ A FIELD?

In terms of the ideal  $I$ , we have: for every  $x \notin I$  there exists  $y \notin I$  so that  $xy - 1 \in I$ .

Suppose that  $J$  is another ideal in between  $I$  and  $R$ , i.e.  $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$ .

If  $x \in J \setminus I$  then we can find  $y \notin I$  so that  $xy - 1 \in I \subseteq J$  because of the above (assuming  $R/I$  is a field).

But since  $x \in J$ ,  $xy \in J$  because  $J$  is an ideal, and then  $xy - 1 \in J$  means that  $1 \in J$ , and then  $J = R$ .

In other words, if  $R/I$  is a field, you cannot find any ideal  $J \neq R$  that is bigger than  $I$  (contains  $I$ ), because if there was you can choose  $x \in J \setminus I$  and repeat the previous sentences.

## WHEN IS $R/I$ A FIELD?

Defn: An ideal  $I \subseteq R$  is *maximal* if

$$I \subsetneq J \text{ implies } J = R$$

Ex: (Proposition 3.2.7) An ideal  $I$  is maximal if and only if  $R/I$  is a field.

We proved one direction of this on the previous slide (to come up with the definition!)

NB: A maximal ideal means  $R/I$  is a field, which is a domain, which means  $I$  is a prime ideal.

That is, if  $I$  satisfies the condition to be maximal, then it satisfies the condition to be prime. (That would be a bit hard to prove from scratch)

## Definition

A map  $f: R \rightarrow S$  between two rings  $R, S$  is a *ring homomorphism* if

- it is a group homomorphism from  $(R, +)$  to  $(S, +)$
- $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$
- $f(1) = 1$

If in addition  $f$  is a bijection, it is called a ring isomorphism, and we write  $R \cong S$ .

$\ker(f) = \{x \in R \mid f(x) = 0\}$  as usual since  $f$  is a group homomorphism.

Ex:  $\ker(f)$  is a ideal

## ISOMORPHISM THEOREM FOR RINGS

### Proposition (3.3.2)

Let  $R, S$  be rings,  $f: R \rightarrow S$  a ring homomorphism. Then

$$\tilde{f}: R/\ker(f) \rightarrow f(R)$$

defined by  $\tilde{f}(r + \ker(f)) = f(r)$  is a well-defined map and a ring isomorphism.

Proof: by the Isomorphism theorem for groups,  $\tilde{f}$  is a well-defined map and a group isomorphism, so we just have to check

- $\tilde{f}(1 + \ker(f)) = f(1) = 1$
- $\tilde{f}(x + \ker(f))\tilde{f}(y + \ker(f)) = f(x)f(y) = f(xy)$  since  $f$  is a ring homomorphism, and  $\tilde{f}((x + \ker(f))(y + \ker(f))) = f(xy)$  by definition of  $\tilde{f}$ .

□

## UNIQUE RING HOMOMORPHISM FROM $\mathbb{Z}$

There is only one way we could define a map from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $R$  so that it is a ring homomorphism:

$f(1) = 1$  and  $f(1 + \cdots + 1) = f(1) + \cdots + f(1) = 1 + \cdots + 1$  means that  $f(n)$  can only be this.

To check: the map  $f: n \mapsto 1 + \cdots + 1$  ( $n$  times) is a ring homomorphism.

- it is clearly a group homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  to  $(R, +)$
- $f(1) = 1$  by construction
- $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ :

In light of this, it makes sense to think of integers as elements of any ring — when  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we can write  $n \in R$  to mean  $1 + 1 + \cdots + 1$  ( $n$  times) is the element we are calling  $n$

Since  $(R, +)$  is a group, the element  $1 \in R$  has an order  $\text{ord}(1)$ .

## Definition

If  $\text{ord}(1)$  is infinite, we say  $R$  has *characteristic zero*.

Otherwise we say  $R$  has *characteristic*  $\text{ord}(1)$ .

The characteristic of  $R$  is denoted  $\text{char}R$

The only time that  $\text{ord}(1) = 1$  is if  $R = \{0\}$ , otherwise  $1 \neq 0$  and so  $\text{ord}(1) \geq 2$  in every other ring.

## Lemma (3.3.5)

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $n = \text{char}R$ . Then there is an injective ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$

Proof: Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$  be the unique ring homomorphism. Then  $f(\mathbb{Z})$  is a subring of  $R$  and  $\ker(f) = ?$

which elements get sent to 0?  $1 + \cdots + 1$  some multiple of  $\text{ord}(1) = \text{char}R$  times by definition of  $\text{char}$  if  $\text{char}R > 0$  (and  $(-1) + \cdots + (-1)$ )

and if  $\text{char}R = 0$  then only 0 gets sent to 0 so  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ .

The isomorphism theorem for rings says that  $\tilde{f}$  is an isomorphism onto  $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq R$ , so it is an injective homomorphism to  $R$ .  $\square$

## CHAR FOR DOMAINS

### Proposition (Prop 3.3.7)

*Let  $R$  be a domain. Then  $\text{char}R$  is either 0 or a prime. If  $R$  is finite then  $R$  is a field and  $\text{char}R$  is a prime number.*

Proof: Let  $n = \text{char}R$ . By the previous result we have  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  sitting inside  $R$  (the image of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  under  $\tilde{f}$  at least).

Since  $R$  is a domain,  $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  must be a domain since it's a subring, and it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , so the only way that can be a domain is if  $n$  is prime or 0.

If  $R$  is a finite domain it cannot contain  $\mathbb{Z}$  so it must be prime characteristic.

Worksheet exercise (first one) we showed a finite domain is a field.  $\square$

## UNDERGRAD'S DREAM

### Theorem (3.3.9)

Let  $R$  be a ring of prime characteristic. Then

$$(x + y)^{p^r} = x^{p^r} + y^{p^r}$$

for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof: Let  $\text{char}R = p$  prime.

First prove the binomial theorem in the setting of a ring:

$$(a + b)^n = a^n + \binom{n}{1}a^{n-1}b + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1}ab^{n-1} + b^n$$

which makes sense since  $\mathbb{Z}$  lives inside any ring  $R$ .

Prove by induction and the combinatorial identity (of numbers)

$$\binom{n}{i} + \binom{n}{i-1} = \binom{n+1}{i}$$

## UNDERGRAD'S DREAM PROOF CONTINUED

Second, you need to prove that  $p$  divides  $\binom{p}{i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ .

(Use the fact that if  $p \mid ab$  then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ )

Then since we are in a ring of characteristic  $p$ ,  $1 + \cdots + 1$  ( $p$  times) equals 0, so all of the middle terms of the binomial expansion disappear:

$$(x + y)^p = x^p + y^p$$

Finally, by induction (base case done) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x + y)^{p^r} &= ((x + y)^p)^{p^{r-1}} = ((x^p + y^p)^{p^{r-1}} \\ &= ((x^p)^{p^{r-1}} + (y^p)^{p^{r-1}}\end{aligned}$$

□

## NEXT:

Friday: workshop doing Lauritzen 3.6 exercises

Next week: assessment 2

After StuVac:

- Week 9: Undergrad's dream; field of fractions; UFD (and the answer to the mystery of whether  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is a PID)
- Week 10: Polynomial rings. Seminar report due this week.
- Week 11: more polynomial rings (sketch of classification of finite fields)
- Week 12: final assessment (1B)