Family Name	Student ID:		
Given Name:			
Tutorial:	Ved Thur Fri		
	0am 10:30am 11am 11:30am 12:30am 1pm 2pm 2:30pm 3pm 3:30pm 4pm ::30pm 5pm		
Tutor: Cahit Jerry Jie Murray Roumani Sherwin Tim Tom			

37181 DISCRETE MATHEMATICS LEARNING PROGRESS CHECK 2

 \bigodot MURRAY ELDER, UTS AUTUMN 2022

INSTRUCTIONS. 40 minutes.

Upload as a single PDF file on Canvas/Assignments/LPC1 before 7:59pm Tuesday 8 March 2022. Name your file as LPC2-LastName-StudentID.pdf. Show all relevant working and steps. You may refer to your personal class notes and the Table on page 3 of this document. Work on this on your own without discussing with anyone or using Discord/WeChat/any websites including paid homework sites. We are checking your learning progress, not somebody else's.

1. (1.5 marks)

(a) Prove or disprove: for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, if $x + y \ge 300$ then $x \ge 150$ or $y \ge 150$.

- (b) Your method in part (a) is
 - **A**. direct proof
 - **B**. proof by contradiction

- **C**. proof via contrapositive
- $\mathbf{D}.$ counterexample
- **E**. none of (A)-(D).

Date: Tuesday 8 March 2022.

- 2. (1.5 marks)
 - (a) Prove or disprove: if $k^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is divisible by 4 then k is divisible by 4.

(b) Your method in part (a) is

A . direct proof	C . proof via contrapositive
B . proof by contradiction	D . counterexample
	E . none of (A) – (D) .

3. (2 marks) Simplify the following logical expression using the rules from Table 1 (next page). Give the reason for each simplification next to each step. The first step is partially done for you, as well as a final answer.

$$\neg \left((\neg p \land \neg q) \to (\neg q \to \neg p) \right)$$

$$\neg ((\neg p \land \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p))$$

$$\equiv \qquad \qquad De Morgan's law$$

$$\equiv$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= F$$

END OF LPC2 $\,$

Some tautologies with names:			
logic rule (tautology)	name		
$\neg(\neg p) \leftrightarrow p$	double negative		
$ \begin{array}{ccc} \neg (p \lor q) & \leftrightarrow & \neg p \land \neg q \\ \neg (p \land q) & \leftrightarrow & \neg p \lor \neg q \end{array} $	De Morgan		
$\begin{array}{cccc} p \lor q & \leftrightarrow & q \lor p \\ p \land q & \leftrightarrow & q \land p \end{array}$	commutative		
$\begin{array}{rccc} p \lor (q \lor r) & \leftrightarrow & (p \lor q) \lor r \\ p \land (q \land r) & \leftrightarrow & (p \land q) \land r \end{array}$	associative		
$\begin{array}{rccc} p \lor (q \land r) & \leftrightarrow & (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r) \\ p \land (q \lor r) & \leftrightarrow & (p \land q) \lor (p \land r) \end{array}$	distributive		
$\begin{array}{cccc} p \lor p & \leftrightarrow & p \\ p \land p & \leftrightarrow & p \end{array}$	idempotent		
$\begin{array}{cccc} p \lor F & \leftrightarrow & p \\ p \land T & \leftrightarrow & p \end{array}$	identity		
$\begin{array}{cccc} p \lor (p \land q) & \leftrightarrow & p \\ p \land (p \lor q) & \leftrightarrow & p \end{array}$	absorption		
$p \to q \leftrightarrow \neg p \lor q$	useful one		
$\begin{array}{cccc} p \lor \neg p & \leftrightarrow & T \\ p \land \neg p & \leftrightarrow & F \end{array}$	inverse		
$\begin{array}{cccc} p \lor T & \leftrightarrow & T \\ p \land F & \leftrightarrow & F \end{array}$	domination		
$\begin{array}{ccc} p \rightarrow q & \leftrightarrow & \neg q \rightarrow \neg p \\ (\neg p \rightarrow F) \rightarrow p \end{array}$	contrapositive contradiction		