DISCRETE MATH 37181 TUTORIAL WORKSHEET 11

©MURRAY ELDER, UTS AUTUMN 2022

INSTRUCTIONS. Complete these problems in groups of 3-4 at the whiteboard. Partial solutions at the end of the PDF.

- 1. For each of the following graphs
 - (a) find an Euler circuit or explain why none exists
 - (b) find a Hamilton cycle or explain why none exists





- 2. Either show that the two graphs in the previous question are isomorphic or explain why they are not isomorphic.
- 3. Draw a planar, simple connected graph that has a Hamilton cycle but no Euler path.
- 4. Consider the degree sequence 44222111111. Draw as many non-isomorphic trees with this degree sequence as you can.
- 5. Draw three non-isomorphic trees with degree sequence

3322111

- 6. Draw all non-isomorphic trees with $n \leq 5$ vertices.
- 7. The number of non-isomorphic trees with 5 vertices is
 - **A**. 1 **C**. 3
 - **B**. 2 **D**. 4

E. none of the above.

Date: Week 11 workshop (Wednesday 11, Thursday 12, Friday 13 May).

E. none of the above.

8. The number of non-isomorphic trees with 6 vertices is

9. Find spanning trees for each of these graphs:



10. How many distinct (non-isomorphic) spanning trees does K_n have?¹

- 11. Prove that for a tree with n vertices with some vertex of degree k < n, the longest simple path has length at most n - k + 1. Give examples to show this bound is achieved sometimes, but not all of the time.
- 12. (a) Under what conditions does K_n have a Hamilton cycle?

(b) The following theorem gives an easy (polynomial time) method to say "Yes" when a graph satisfies the conditions. In some sense it says if a graph is pretty close to being a complete graph, then it will have a Hamilton cycle. Try to prove the theorem.

Theorem 1. If G = (V, E) is a simple graph (no loops or multi-edges) with $|V| = n \ge 3$ vertices, and each pair of vertices $a, b \in V$ with a, b distinct and non-adjacent satisfies

 $\deg(a) + \deg(b) \ge n,$

then G has a Hamilton cycle.

END OF WORKSHEET

¹Try n = 1, 2, 3, 4 first, to see a pattern. The final answer will be some formula involving n, and probably you will have to guess it then prove by induction.

6.

- 2. They are not isomorphic: one has a simple circuit of length 3 and the other doesn't (all circuits in $K_{3,3}$ have even length because it is complete bipartite (and the shortest simple circuit should be preserved by isomorphism). Another reason: G_1 is planar and $K_{3,3}$, is not.
- 3. Anything with exactly one or > 2 vertices of odd degree, say draw a circle with some dots on it (so it has a Hamilton cycle) then put a few extra edges across, making sure to keep it planar and simple.
- 5. Degree sum is odd. No graphs (or trees) exist.



Must be connected. Non-isomorphic is shown by giving the degree sequences (they are all different).

11. n = 1: single vertex of degree 0, bound is n - k + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2 and the longest simple path has length 0. For n = 2, only tree is a single edge, both vertices degree 1, so n - k + 1 = 2 - 1 + 1 = 2 and longest simple path has length 1. To prove the bound always holds, induction.

For n > 2 we always have a tree which is a path of length n - 1 (degree sequence 1122...2) so the bound is sharp for these trees, and there is always a tree with degree sequence 11...1(n-1) which looks like a star, so the bound is not sharp for these trees.

12. (a) K_n for $n \ge 3$ yes: say edges are labeled $\{i, j\}$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$, then the path

 $\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\ldots,\{n,1\}$

is a Hamilton cycle. For n = 1, 2, what did your group/classroom decide? Is the empty path a cycle that visits every vertex in K_1 ? Can a cycle cross the same edge twice for K_2 ? (b) *Proof sketch.* For contradiction, suppose the theorem is false and there is some graph satisfying the conditions which does not have a Hamilton cycle. Let G be such an example which has the *smallest* number of vertices. (WOP used here). Say this G has n vertices. (We know $n \ge 3$).

Now out of all the graphs that have n vertices and satisfy the conditions which does not have a Hamilton cycle, choose one that has the *maximum* number of edges.

What we have done is narrowed down (using WOP) to having a fairly concrete example to play with. Call this graph G_{max} .

We know that if we were to *add* an edge to this graph, it would have more edges than our maximal example, so it would not be a counterexample to the theorem. See where you can go from here. (Note C_{i} is not K_{i} since K_{i} has a Hamilton cycle by

See where you can go from here. (Note, G_{\max} is not K_n since K_n has a Hamilton cycle by part (a).)