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------- Review Reports ------

For the following 4 items, please offer marks for each item in a 100 point scale.

#### Importance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the work within its area of research. *[Marking Criteria 1 in AT3]*

#### *100.*

#### *The importance of managing scope-creep in agile projects is a critical issue that can significantly impact project success. It is a timely topic as more and more organizations are adopting agile methods to manage their projects, and effective cost estimation techniques can help them address this challenge. Additionally, cost-estimation is a constantly evolving field with new methods and techniques being developed, making it an area of active research.*

#### Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the work (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour. *[Marking Criteria 2 in AT3]*

#### *100.*

#### *The technical content is comprehensive, thorough, and detailed. The analysis or simulation study is complete and accurate, and the models used are appropriate and well-defined. The treatise is well-written and logically structured, and the research design is rigorous and sound.*

#### Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper. *[Marking Criteria 2 in AT3]*

#### *95.*

#### *There are other existing research happening in this area, however the author has made significant contributions to the field by introducing innovative concepts and ideas that are not commonly found in existing literature. Their work is likely to inspire more future research in this area and potentially lead to important advances in the field.*

#### Quality of presentation: Rate the organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references. *[Marking Criteria 3&4 in AT3]*

#### *100.*

#### *The overall organization of the paper, the clarity of the text and figures, and the completeness and accuracy of references used in the paper, are all very well organized and sound.*

#### Review Comments: Please offer at least three strong aspects and three weak aspects, respectively.

#### Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the work.

#### The paper demonstrates a comprehensive and thorough analysis of the research problem.

#### The methodology and models used in the study are accurate and well-researched.

#### The results and findings presented in the paper are original and innovative.

#### The paper is well-organized and well-presented, with clear and concise language and accurate references.

#### Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the work

#### An introduction could be included, providing a stronger motivation for the research question and the approach taken in the paper.

#### The paper would benefit from additional discussion and analysis of the limitations of the research approach and the implications of the results.

#### Consider including snippets of code to demonstrate how the results were derived. This would enable readers who wish to attempt to solve the problem to gain a deeper understanding of the methodology used to achieve the results and potentially modify their own solutions to derive better results.

#### Any other suggestions and comments