

# Tutorial 5 Solutions RA

①

Q1 (i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \frac{x}{x+3} = \frac{2}{5}$ . To show this write

$$\left| \frac{x}{x+3} - \frac{2}{5} \right| = \left| \frac{5x - 2(x+3)}{5(x+3)} \right| = \left| \frac{3(x-2)}{5(x+3)} \right|$$

Now since  $x \rightarrow 2$ , we may assume  $x > 0$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\left| \frac{3}{5(x+3)} \right| < \frac{3}{5 \cdot 3} = \frac{1}{5}$

Choose  $\delta > 0$  by letting  $\delta = 5\varepsilon$ .

Then  $|x-2| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \frac{x}{x+3} - \frac{2}{5} \right| < 5 \cdot \frac{1}{5} \varepsilon = \varepsilon$

So  $\frac{x}{x+3} \rightarrow \frac{2}{5}$

(ii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\sqrt{x^2+4} - x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\sqrt{x^2+4} - x) \left( \frac{\sqrt{x^2+4} + x}{\sqrt{x^2+4} + x} \right)$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{\sqrt{x^2+4} + x} = 0$$

To show this from definition we have to find  $M > 0$  such that  $x > M \Rightarrow \left| \frac{4}{\sqrt{x^2+4} + x} - 0 \right| < \varepsilon$

Since  $\sqrt{x^2+4} + x > 2x$ ,

$$\frac{4}{\sqrt{x^2+4} + x} < \frac{4}{2x} = \frac{2}{x}$$

If  $\frac{2}{x} < \varepsilon$ ,  $x > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $M \geq \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ .

Then  $x > M \Rightarrow \left| \sqrt{x^2+4} - x \right| < \frac{2}{x} < \varepsilon$ .

So  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\sqrt{x^2+4} - x) = 0$

(iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(2x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 2 \sin x \cos x = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 2 \sin x \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \cos x = 2$

(iv)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \frac{x-2}{x^2-4} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \frac{x-2}{(x-2)(x+2)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \frac{1}{x+2} = \frac{1}{4}$

(2)(i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L \Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow a} cf(x) = cL$ . Assume  $c \neq 0$   
( $c=0$  is trivial)

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x-a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{|c|}$

Then  $\delta > 0$   $|x-a| < \delta \Rightarrow |cf(x) - cL| < |c| \frac{\epsilon}{|c|} = \epsilon$   
So  $cf(x) \rightarrow cL$

(ii)  $f(x) \rightarrow L, g(x) \rightarrow M$ . Choose  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $|x-a| < \delta_1 \Rightarrow |f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Choose  $\delta_2$  such that  $|x-a| < \delta_2 \Rightarrow |g(x)-M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Take  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Then

$$|x-a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x)+g(x) - L-M| < |f(x)-L| + |g(x)-M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$$

(iii)  $f(x) \rightarrow L, g(x) \rightarrow M$  so  $g(x)f(x) \rightarrow LM$ . Take  $L \neq 0$   
We have  $M \neq 0$

$$|f(x)g(x) - LM| = |f(x)g(x) - f(x)M + f(x)M - LM| = |f(x)(g(x) - M) + M(f(x) - L)|$$

$$\leq |f(x)| |g(x) - M| + |M| |f(x) - L|$$

Choose  $\delta_1$  such that  $|x-a| < \delta_1 \Rightarrow |f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|M|}$

Now  $x$  is near  $a$ , so  $|f(x)|$  is near  $|L|$

Pick  $\delta_2$  such that  $|x-a| < \delta_2 \Rightarrow |g(x)-M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(1+|L|)}$

Now if  $\delta_2 < \delta_1$ ,  $|f(x)-L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

$$\text{So } -\frac{\epsilon}{2} < f(x) - L < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

And  $|f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + L \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + |L|$ . If  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} < 1$  we

have  $|f(x)| < 1 + |L|$

Take  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ .  $|x-a| < \delta$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x)g(x) - LM| \leq |f(x)| |g(x) - M| + |M| |f(x) - L|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon(1+|L|)}{2(1+|L|)} + \frac{M\epsilon}{2|M|}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

(iv) Assume  $g(x) > k > 0$  and  $M \neq 0$ . Then, we

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{L}{M} \right| &= \left| \frac{Mf(x) - Lg(x)}{g(x)M} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|g(x)M|} |Mf(x) - ML + ML - Lg(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{kM} |M(f(x) - L) + L(M - g(x))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|k|M} (|M||f(x) - L| + |L||M - g(x)|) \end{aligned}$$

Pick  $\delta_1 > 0$  st  $|x - a| < \delta_1 \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < |k| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$   
 "  $\delta_2 > 0$  " "  $< \delta_2 \Rightarrow |M - g(x)| < \frac{|k|M \epsilon}{2|L|}$

if  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{L}{M} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{|k|M} (|M||f(x) - L| + |L||M - g(x)|) \\ &< \frac{1}{|k|} |k| \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{|k|M|L|}{2|k|M} \epsilon = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

We here assumed  $L \neq 0$ . If  $L = 0$

The term  $|L||M - g(x)|$  disappears.

(3) We did  $f(x) = x^2$  in class. So let us do  $f(x) = x^3$

instead. We let  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . So we can find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N \Rightarrow |x_n - x| < \frac{\epsilon}{K}$  for some  $K$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } |x_n^3 - x^3| &= |x_n - x| |x_n^2 + x_n x + x^2| \\ &\leq |x_n - x| (|x_n^2| + |x_n x| + |x^2|) \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $x = 0$  otherwise it is trivial ( $0 = 0$ )

$\{x_n\}$  is convergent, so it is bounded. Let  $|x_n| < M$

Then take  $K = M^2 + M|x| + |x|^2$ .

$$\text{Thus } n \geq N \Rightarrow |x_n^3 - x^3| < |x_n - x| K < K \frac{\epsilon}{K} = \epsilon$$

So  $x_n^3 \rightarrow x^3$  and  $x^3$  is therefore continuous

(\*) I forgot it was in the title.

(4)

For  $\sin x$  we have  $|\sin x| \leq |x|$   
and we use the trig identity

$$\sin x - \sin y = 2 \sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$

$$\text{So } |\sin x - \sin y| = 2 \left| \sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \left| \sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \left| \frac{x-y}{2} \right| = |x-y|$$

So if  $|x-y| < \varepsilon$  Then  $|\sin x - \sin y| < \varepsilon$  and thus  $\sin x$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$

Note  $\cos x$  is also uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(4) It is enough to show that  $x^n$  is continuous for any positive integer  $n$ .

We use

$$x^n - y^n = (x-y)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}y + x^{n-3}y^2 + \dots + y^{n-1})$$

If  $x_k \rightarrow x$

$$|x_k^n - x^n| \leq k|x-y|$$

$$\text{for } k = |x^{n-1}| + \dots + M^{n-1}$$

where  $|x_n| \leq M$  all  $n$ . The proof then is the same as for Q 3.

(4) Linear combinations of continuous functions are continuous. So it suffices to show that  $x^n$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Now  $|x^n - y^n| = |x - y| |x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}y + \dots + y^{n-1}|$

Now for any finite  $x, y, \exists M > 0, M < \infty$  such that  $|x^{n-1} + \dots + y^{n-1}| \leq M$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $x, y$  such that  $|x - y| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$ .

then  $|x - y| < \delta, \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{M}$   
 $\Rightarrow |x^n - y^n| < \frac{\epsilon}{M} M = \epsilon$

So  $x^n$  is continuous.

(5)  $f(x) = x^2, x \in I \cap \mathbb{Q}$ .  $f$  is continuous. Let  $x \in I$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{Q}, f(x) = x^2$ .

Suppose that  $x$  is irrational. Then we can find a sequence of rationals  $r_n \in I$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow x$ .

By continuity  $f(r_n) \rightarrow f(x)$   
So  $r_n^2 \rightarrow f(x)$  or  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^2 = x^2$

by elementary properties of limits.

(6) Continuous functions have the intermediate value property. So if  $f(a) < 0, f(b) > 0$  then there exists  $\xi \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(\xi) = 0$ .

Let  $P_{2n+1}(x) = a_{2n+1}x^{2n+1} + a_{2n}x^{2n} + \dots + a_0$   
 $= a_{2n+1}x^{2n+1} + q_{2n}(x)$   
 $a_{2n+1} \neq 0$

(5)

$$\text{Now } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_{2n}(x)}{a_{2n+1} x^{2n+1}} = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, assume  $a_{2n+1} > 0$ .  
So we can find  $x$  large enough that

$$x^{2n+1} > \left| \frac{q_{2n}(x)}{a_{2n+1}} \right|$$

For such  $x$ ,  $p_{2n+1}(x) > 0$ .

Conversely we can find  $x < 0$   
such that  $|x|^{2n+1} > \left| \frac{q_{2n}(x)}{a_{2n+1}} \right|$

which means  $p_{2n+1}(x) < 0$ . So by  
the intermediate property, there is  
an  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p_{2n+1}(\xi) = 0$ .

Note that if  $p_n$  is even, this is not  
necessarily true.

7) For every  $x \in I$  there is a  $y \in I$  such  
that  $|f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|f(x)|$ .  
Since  $y \in I$   $\exists y_1 \in I$  with

$$|f(y_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{2^2}|f(x)|$$

We can also find  $y_2 \in I$  with  $|f(y_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|f(y_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2^3}|f(x)|$

Thus there is a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  such that  
 $|f(y_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}|f(x)|$ .

Now  $\{y_n\} \in [a, b]$ , so it is bounded.

$$\text{Clearly } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(y_n)| = 0.$$

Since  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, it has a convergent.

(7)

subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}$ . Let  $y_{n_k} \rightarrow \xi \in I$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f(y_{n_k})| &= |f(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k})| \\ &= |f(\xi)| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is continuous. Thus  
 $f(\xi) = 0$

(8) This is a simple version of Brouwer's fixed point theorem.

Now  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ . So the image of  $[a, b]$  under  $f$  is a subset of  $[a, b]$ .

So  $f(a) \geq a$ ,  $f(b) \leq b$

Let  $g(x) = f(x) - x$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ . This is continuous. But  $g(a) \geq 0$  and  $g(b) \leq 0$ .

So  $\exists \xi \in [a, b]$  with  $g(\xi) = 0$

or  $f(\xi) = \xi$

(9) Let  $J = f(I) = \{f(x) : x \in I\}$ . We show that  $J$  is also an interval. We have to prove that if  $y_1, y_2 \in J$  and  $y_1 \leq \lambda \leq y_2$ , then  $\lambda \in J$ .

Now

$$S = \{x : f(x) \leq \lambda\}, T = \{x : f(x) \geq \lambda\}$$

are non empty. Every point in  $I$  is in either  $S$  or  $T$ . (There are no other options).

Consider  $T$ . We can find  $s \in S$ , which is at zero distance from  $T$ . (See Canvas).

We can find a sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset T$ , with

$t_n \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By continuity

$f(t_n) \rightarrow f(s)$ .  $f(t_n) \geq \lambda$  all  $n$ , so  $f(s) \geq \lambda$ . But  $s \in S$ , so  $f(s) \leq \lambda$ .

$$\therefore f(s) = \lambda$$

The other way round is similar

(10) Suppose  $\xi$  is such that  $f(\xi) > 0$ .

Since  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we can find  $b > \xi$ , such that for  $x > b$ ,  $|f(x)| < |f(\xi)|$ .

Since  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , we can find  $a < \xi$ , such that for any  $x < a$ ,  $|f(x)| < |f(\xi)|$ . So  $f$  attains a maximum

on  $[a, b]$ . Suppose that  $f(\eta) \geq f(x)$  for  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then  $f(\eta) \geq f(\xi) > f(x)$ ,  $x \notin [a, b]$ . Hence  $f$  attains a maximum on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

If we can find  $\xi$  such that  $f(\xi) < 0$ , the existence of a minimum is proved a similar way.

The case  $f(x) = 0$  all  $x$  is clearly trivial.

