# The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Languages Lecture 29 Section 4.2

Robb T. Koether

Hampden-Sydney College

Fri, Nov 7, 2014

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

Fri, Nov 7, 2014 1 / 25

∃ >

4 A 1









Turing-Unrecognizable Languages



- 2 Universal Turing Machines
- 3 The Acceptance Problem for Turing Machines
- 4 Turing-Unrecognizable Languages

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

-

• • • • • • • • • • • •

## Assignment

- Chapter 5: Exercises 5, 6, 7.
- Chapter 5: Problems 15, 16, 19, 24, 25, 28.

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

ъ

• • • • • • • •

# Assignment

# 2 Universal Turing Machines

# 3 The Acceptance Problem for Turing Machines

# 4 Turing-Unrecognizable Languages

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

Fri, Nov 7, 2014 5 / 25

-

• • • • • • • • • • • •

# Definition (Universal Turing machine)

A universal Turing machine is a Turing machine that can read a description of any Turing machine and simulate it on any input.

• Do universal Turing machines really exist?

- Yes. We call them programmable computers.
- They read a description of a Turing machine, which we call a program.
- Then they simulate the Turing machine, which we call executing the program.
- This should all sound familiar.









Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

-

• • • • • • • • • • • •

# The Acceptance Problem for Turing Machines

Given a Turing machine *M* and a string *w*, does *M* accept *w*?

• The language is

$$A_{\mathsf{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts } w \}.$$

4 D b 4 B b 4

### Theorem

A<sub>TM</sub> is Turing-recognizable.

## Proof.

- Build a universal Turing machine *U* and use it to simulate *M* on the input *w*.
- If *M* accepts *w*, then *U* will halt in its accept state.
- If M does not accept w, then U may halt in its reject state or it may loop.
- That is why U is only a recognizer, not a decider.

∃ >

A B A B A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

# Assignment

- 2 Universal Turing Machines
- 3 The Acceptance Problem for Turing Machines
- Turing-Unrecognizable Languages

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

4 6 1 1 4

### Theorem

There exist Turing-unrecognizable languages.

• We will establish this by showing that the function

 $f: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{L}$  $f: \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})$ 

from the set  $\mathcal M$  of all Turing machines to the set  $\mathcal L$  of all languages is not onto.

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

#### Lemma

The set  $\mathcal{M}$  of all Turing machines is a countably infinite set.

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

• Each Turing machine has a finite description:

 $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}).$ 

- Express the description in binary, perhaps by using ASCII.
- Thus, the set of all Turing machines is represented by an infinite set of finite binary strings.
- We already know that every infinite set of finite binary strings is countable.
- (They can be arranged in lexicographical order.)

• • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Lemma

The set  $\mathcal{B}$  of all infinite binary strings is uncountable.

• To prove this, we need to use a diagonalization argument, which is based on proof by contradiction.

- Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is countable.
- Then its members can be listed  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots$
- (Each *w<sub>i</sub>* is an infinitely long binary string.)
- Create a binary array that is infinite to the right and down by letting row *i* be the bits in *w<sub>i</sub>*, for *i* = 1, 2, 3, ....

### • For example, we might have



590

I > < 
I >
I

### • Define an infinite binary string *w* as follows.

- If the  $i^{th}$  bit in row i is 0, set the  $i^{th}$  bit of w to 1.
- If the  $i^{th}$  bit in row i is 1, set the  $i^{th}$  bit of w to 0.

< ∃ ►



• So w = 10111101...

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College) The Acceptance Problem - Undecidable Lang

-

3

590

- Certainly, w is an infinite binary string.
- But w cannot be in the list of all infinite binary strings because it disagrees with every w<sub>i</sub> in the list.

Fri, Nov 7, 2014

20 / 25

- This is a contradiction.
- Therefore, *B* must be uncountable.

# Proof of the theorem.

- It is easy to see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between L and B.
- Define a function  $g : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{B}$  as follows.
- For any language *L*, *g* maps *L* to the infinite binary string *s* defined by the following procedure.
- List of all the finite binary strings and order the list lexicographically and number the strings w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>, w<sub>3</sub>,...

## Proof of the theorem.

- For every  $i \ge 1$ ,
  - If  $w_i \in L$ , then the *i*<sup>th</sup> bit of *s* is 1.
  - If  $w_i \notin L$ , then the *i*<sup>th</sup> bit of *s* is 0.
- Clearly, this is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all languages and the set of all infinite binary strings.
- Thus, the set of all languages is uncountable.

## Proof of the theorem.

- Now suppose that every language is recognizable.
- Then the function  $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{L}$  defined by  $f : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})$  is onto.
- That implies that  $|\mathcal{M}| \ge |\mathcal{L}|$ , which is impossible since  $\mathcal{M}$  is countable and  $\mathcal{L}$  is uncountable.
- Thus, there exist languages that are not Turing-recognizable.