

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES  
37233 LINEAR ALGEBRA

**Tutorial 1 — solution guide**

**Question 1**

One of the possible proofs takes the benefit of a property derived in the lecture:

$$\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

Based on this property, we can write

$$x \cdot \mathbf{0} \stackrel{(above)}{=} x \cdot (\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \stackrel{\alpha\xi 6}{=} (x \cdot \mathbf{0}) \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{u} \stackrel{(above)}{=} \mathbf{0}$$

(NB: the axiom numbers refer to the order provided with the lectures)

**Question 2**

- (a) If a vector located in the first quadrant is multiplied by a negative number, this results in a vector located in the third quadrant. Thus, this set is not closed under multiplication by a scalar. Therefore, this set is not a linear space. Note that, needless to say, axiom (iv) is violated either.
- (b) All the axioms are satisfied for these functions, in the same way as for numbers with the corresponding arithmetic operations. Therefore this set is a linear space.

**Question 3**

- (a) Any solution  $\mathbf{x}$  to this equation is a vector with  $n$  components, so  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are two solutions to the system. Then  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$  is also a solution, because

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

Also  $c \cdot \mathbf{x}_1$  is a solution, because

$$\mathbf{A}(c \cdot \mathbf{x}_1) = c \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_1 = c \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus the conditions for a subspace are fulfilled:  $(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) \in X$  and  $(c \cdot \mathbf{x}_1) \in X$ , therefore  $X$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . And if there is only has the trivial solution  $(\mathbf{0})$ , then it is the zero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (b) Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Then  $\mathbf{0} \in \Gamma$ , so it can be represented as  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{x}_0$  where  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in H$ . By the axioms of a linear space,  $-\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ , and then  $\mathbf{v}_0 = -\mathbf{x}_0$  and thereby  $\mathbf{v}_0 \in H$ . However this is a contradiction to the definition of  $\Gamma$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma$  is not a subspace of  $V$ .

### Question 4

Following the same steps as shown in the lecture, we prove that  $0 \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . Based on this, we can write

$$(-1) \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \stackrel{\alpha\xi 5}{=} (-1) \cdot \mathbf{u} + 1 \cdot \mathbf{u} \stackrel{\alpha\xi 7}{=} (-1 + 1) \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \stackrel{(above)}{=} \mathbf{0}$$

Now, once again, add  $-\mathbf{u}$  to each side of the obtained equation:

$$\begin{aligned} ((-1) \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}) + (-\mathbf{u}) &= \mathbf{0} + (-\mathbf{u}) && \stackrel{\alpha\xi 2}{=} \\ (-1) \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u})) &= \mathbf{0} + (-\mathbf{u}) && \stackrel{\alpha\xi 4}{=} \\ (-1) \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{0} + (-\mathbf{u}) && \stackrel{\alpha\xi 1}{=} \\ (-1) \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} &= (-\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{0} && \stackrel{\alpha\xi 3}{=} \\ (-1) \cdot \mathbf{u} &= (-\mathbf{u}) && \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

### Question 5

Let us check if all the axioms are fulfilled with the defined operations. For any negative numbers  $x, y < 0$ , the “sum”  $x \oplus y \equiv x \cdot y > 0$ , so does not belong to negative numbers. Thereby this set is not closed under “addition” as defined, and is not a linear space.

Alternatively, we can point out that  $\alpha \odot x \equiv x^\alpha$  is only negative for an odd integer  $\alpha$ , but it is positive for an even integer  $\alpha$ , and it is a complex number for non-integer  $\alpha$ . Thereby this set is not closed under “multiplication by scalar” as defined, and is not a linear space.

### Question 6

(a) As such, any cubic polynomial belongs to the space  $\mathbb{P}_4$ . However, let us consider, for example, the following two cubic polynomials:

$$\mathbf{p}_1(t) = t^3 + 2t^2 + 3t + 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{p}_2(t) = -t^3 + t + 2$$

Taking the sum of these two polynomials yields  $\mathbf{p}_1(t) + \mathbf{p}_2(t) = 2t^2 + 4t + 6$  which is not a cubic polynomial. So, as shown with this example, the set of cubic polynomials is not closed under addition, and therefore it is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{P}_4$ .

(b) As such, any function like  $f(t)$  belongs to the linear space of functions  $g(t)$ , whereby this is just a particular case with  $b = 0$ . Consider a sum of any two functions like  $f(t)$ :

$$f_1(t) + f_2(t) = a_1 \cos t + a_2 \cos t = (a_1 + a_2) \cos t$$

which is also a function from the set of  $f(t)$ .

Considering any  $f(t)$  multiplied by a scalar, we see the result belongs to the set of  $f(t)$ :

$$d \cdot f(t) = d \cdot (a \cos t) = (d \cdot a) \cos t$$

Thus, the requirements for being a subspace are fulfilled, so the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions  $f(t)$  is a subspace of the space  $\mathcal{G}$  of functions  $g(t)$ .