

12 Riemann Integral

(18)

A partition of an interval $[a, b]$ is a finite set of numbers $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with $x_0 = a$, $x_n = b$ and $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$

If f is bounded on $[a, b]$, let $M_i = \sup \{f(x) : x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i\}$

$$m_i = \inf \{f(x) : x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i\}$$

$$m_i = \inf \{f(x) : x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i\}$$

The upper and lower Riemann sums

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

(Clearly these are bounded above by $\|f\|_\infty (b-a)$

and below by $-\|f\|_\infty (b-a)$)

$$\text{Let } \int_a^b f = \inf_{P \text{ a partition of } [a, b]} U(f, P)$$

$$\int_a^b f = \sup_P L(f, P)$$

These both exist and we say f is Riemann integrable if $\int_a^b f = \int_a^b f$ and denote the common value by $\int_a^b f$.

Of course you know all about the Riemann integral

$$\int_a^b c dx = c(b-a)$$

• it's linear

$$|\int_a^b f| \leq \int_a^b |f|$$

$$\int f \geq 0 \text{ if } f \geq 0 \text{ on } [a, b]$$

etc.

By the defn of sup and inf plus the fact that if P_0 is a refinement of P_1 then §

$$U(f, P_0) \leq U(f, P_1) \text{ and } L(f, P_0) \geq L(f, P_1)$$

we get Riemann's criterion

- f is Riemann integrable iff $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists P$ with $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$.

Corollary 1 Every continuous f on a closed bdd interval is Riemann integrable

Corollary 2 If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is monotone increasing and $f(b) < \infty$ then f is Riemann integr.

N.B. $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$ defined on $[0, 1]$,

is not Riemann integrable.

Theorem (Fundamental Thm of Calculus I)

If f is cts on $[a, b]$, then $\forall x \in [a, b]$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_a^x f(t) dt = f(x).$$

Proof (see note P39)

Thm (FTC II) If f is Riemann integr. on $[a, b]$ and if $F' = f$ on (a, b) , then

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a).$$

See the Mean Value Thm for integrals. If f, g cts on $[a, b]$, $g(x) \geq 0$. Then $\exists c \in [a, b]$ st.

$$\int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx = f(c) \int_a^b g(x) dx. \quad (\text{By Int. Value Thm})$$

See the nice use of this theorem in P41 of the notes to show that the remainder term in the Taylor series is

$$R_n(a, x) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_a^x (x-t)^n f^{(n)}(t) dt$$

Improper Riemann integrals

If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is cts on $(a, b]$ but discont at a . Then we define $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow a} \int_c^b f(x) dx$ if the limit exists.

Similarly if f discont at b

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow b} \int_a^c f(x) dx$$

Example of $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ on $[0, 1]$.

Can likewise define $\int_a^\infty f(x) dx = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^R f(x) dx$ and $\int_{-\infty}^b$ etc.

Changes of integrals and limits

It's often tempting (but wrong!) to exchange limits and integrals.

For example, if $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$,

does $\int_a^b f_n(x) dx \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x) dx$?

eg $f_n(x) = nx e^{-nx^2}$ on $[0, 1]$
sliding hump on $(0, \infty)$.

Another bad example $f_{n,j}(x) = (\cos(n! \pi x))^j$

if x is rational $\lim_{n,j \rightarrow \infty} f_{n,j}(x) = 1$

if x is irrational $\lim_{n,j \rightarrow \infty} f_{n,j}(x) = 0$

so $f_{n,j}$ converges to a f_n which is not Riemann integrable, even though the $f_{n,j}$ are analytic.

43 More on Uniform Convergence

Basically, we need uniform convergence of f_n to make this conclusion. (unif)

Lemma. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ (unif) on a closed bdd interval $[a,b]$ and $g_n \rightarrow g$ (unif) on $[a,b]$ then $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$ (unif) on $[a,b]$.

Theorem (Weierstrass M-test). Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of f_n on $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $|f_n(x)| < M_n$ $\forall x \in X$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$

Then the sequence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ is unif convergent

Proof. Let $S_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x)$. Then for $N \geq M$

$$|S_N(x) - S_M(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^N f_n(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N |f_n(x)| \leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N M_n \quad (\forall x)$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$, we can choose K so large that $M, N \geq K \Rightarrow \sum_{n=M+1}^N M_n < \epsilon$.

Thus $S_N(x)$ converges uniformly

eg $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^2+1}$ is continuous

(22)

Theorem If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ is a power series with radius of convergence R and $0 < r < R$ then the series converges uniformly on $[-r, r]$.

(NB They don't converge on $(-R, R)$ even the G.P. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n \rightarrow \frac{x}{1-x}$ not uniform $[-1, 1]$

Theorem (Dini's Test) Let f_n ^(continuous) converge monotonically to f (continuous) on $[a, b]$.

Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$

Proof Wlog $f \equiv 0$ and f_n decreases monotonically to 0 i.e. $\forall x, f_n(x) \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $M_n = \sup \{f_n(x) : x \in [a, b]\}$. Since $f_{n+1} \leq f_n$, $M_{n+1} \leq M_n$. Want to show $M_n \rightarrow 0$.

Suppose not. Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $M_n \geq \delta \forall n$.
Thus $\exists x_n$ s.t. $f_n(x_n) \geq \delta$

$\{x_n\} \subseteq [a, b]$ which is compact so by Bolzano-W. it has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$, $x_{n_k} \rightarrow z \in [a, b]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. But our assumption is that $f_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $\exists N$ s.t. $n \geq N \Rightarrow f_n(z) < \frac{\delta}{2}$.
Choosing $n \geq N$, since f_n is ^{at z} $\downarrow$ we can choose η so that $|x - z| < \eta \Rightarrow |f_n(x) - f_n(z)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$

Then $|x - z| < \eta \Rightarrow f_n(x) < \delta \Rightarrow f_{n_k}(x) < \delta$ for all k with $n_k \geq N$. Now choose $|x_{n_k} - z| < \delta$ and we have a contradiction. $\square$

Weierstrass Approximation Theorem

"Every cts. fn. on a compact set can be uniformly approximated by a polynomial"

Theorem (W) If f is continuous on a compact set, then

$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$ a polynomial P such that

$$\|f - P\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$$

Proof due to Bernstein

$$\text{Lemma: } \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = 1 \quad (\text{binomial thm})$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = nx$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^n k^2 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = n(n-1)x^2 + nx$$

Proof (i) $\square$ is the binomial theorem

$$(ii) k \binom{n}{k} = n \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

$$(iii) k^2 = k(k-1) + k$$

$\square$

Proof of Weierstrass Thm Wlog $[a,b] = [0,1]$ let $f \in C([0,1])$ let

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

(The Bernstein polys for f)

Claim $P_n \rightarrow f$ (unif) on $[0,1]$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. We want to show $\exists N$ s.t. $n \geq N$ (24)

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |P_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } P_n(x) - f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &\quad - f(x) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left[f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right] \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

Note that f is unif. cts. ~~Choose~~ Choose $\delta > 0$ s.t.
 $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

We want to find values of $\frac{k}{n}$ s.t. $|x - \frac{k}{n}| < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(x) - f(x) &= \sum_{\left\{k: \left|x - \frac{k}{n}\right| < \delta\right\}} \left[f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right] \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\left\{k: \left|x - \frac{k}{n}\right| \geq \delta\right\}} \left[f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right] \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= S_1 + S_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since f is unif cts, and $\sum_k \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = 1$
 $|S_1| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ since $|x - \frac{k}{n}| < \delta$ for all k in this sum

Now look at S_2

$$\begin{aligned} |S_2| &\leq \sum_{\left|x - \frac{k}{n}\right| \geq \delta} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)| \sum_{\left|x - \frac{k}{n}\right| \geq \delta} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

Since for the k 's in the second sum $|x - \frac{k}{n}| \geq \delta$, we have
 $\left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \geq \delta^2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\left|x - \frac{k}{n}\right| \geq \delta} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(x^2 - 2x\frac{k}{n} + \frac{k^2}{n^2}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(n^2 - 2n \cdot \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (n(n-1)n^2 + nx) \right)$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ since } x(n-1) \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ if } 0.5 \times 1$$

Thus we get

$$\sum_{|n-k| \geq \delta} \left[f\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) - f(x) \right] \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq \frac{2A}{4n\delta^2}$$

$$\text{where } A = \|f\|_\infty = \sup |f(x)|.$$

$$\text{Thus, if we choose } n \geq \frac{2A}{\delta^2}.$$

Then

$$\|P_n - f\| < \epsilon.$$

□

Remarks. The Stone-Weierstrass theorem says that if A is a subalgebra of $C(X)$ which contains the constant functions and which separates points of X i.e. if $x \neq y$ in X $\exists a$ in A with $a(x) \neq a(y)$.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space

Then A is dense in $C(X)$.

Corollary If $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of Riemann integrable fns, $f_n \rightarrow f$ (unif) on $[a, b]$ then f is Riemann integrable and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Proof If the f_n are cts, then f is cts

so for $n \geq N$

$$\left| \int_a^b f_n(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \right| \leq \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx < \int_a^b \frac{\epsilon}{3} dx = \epsilon.$$

Completeness of $C(X)$
We now show that $C(X)$ is complete under

$\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Proposition If f_n is a uniformly Cauchy sequence of functions, it converges uniformly to a continuous function

Proof If $\{f_n\}$ is a uniformly Cauchy

sequence on a $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then for each $x \in X$,

$\{f_n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of numbers, so it has

a limit $f(x)$. This defines a function f . If we show

$f_n \rightarrow f$ (uniformly) then our previous theorem allows

us to deduce that f is continuous.

Let $\epsilon > 0$, choose N st. $n, m \geq N$

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Since $f_m(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ pointwise, this $\Rightarrow$ that

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ (uniformly)

Interchange of limit and derivatives

Example

$$f_n(x) = \frac{x}{1+n^2x^2}$$

$$\text{But } f'_n(x) = \frac{1-n^2x^2}{(1+n^2x^2)^2} \text{ so } f'_n(0) = 1$$

Theorem If I is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$, $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\{f_n\}$ a sequence of continuous functions on I which converges pointwise to f .

Suppose $f'_n \rightarrow g$ (uniformly) on I .

Then f is differentiable and $f'(x) = g(x)$.

CH2 INTEGRATION

27

1 Riemann-Stieltjes integration

The idea is to take a bdd fn ϕ and consider sums of the form

$$\sum f(x_i^*) [\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] = \sum f \Delta \phi$$

Defn f, ϕ bdd on $[a, b]$

S'pose $\exists A$ st. $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n f(c_k) (\phi(x_k) - \phi(x_{k-1})) - A \right| < \epsilon$$

whenever $x_{k-1} \leq c_k \leq x_k$ and $|x_k - x_{k-1}| < \delta$

Then f is RS integrable w.r.t ϕ

$$\text{RS} \int_a^b f(x) d\phi(x) = A$$

$$\sim \int_a^b f d\phi = A$$

If $\phi(x) = x$, this becomes the Riemann integral

If $\phi = \text{const}$ $\int f d\phi = 0$

$$\text{If } f = c \quad \int_a^b c d\phi = c[\phi(b) - \phi(a)]$$

Thm S'pose f is cts on $[a, b]$ and ϕ is a step fn

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{[x_{k-1}, x_k)}(x)$$

Then the RS integral exists and

$$\int f(x) d\phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) [\phi(x_k^+) - \phi(x_k^-)]$$

Example

$$f(x) = x^2 \quad \phi(x) = [x]$$

$$\int_a^b x^2 d[x] = \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

Theorem Suppose f is ϕ and ϕ diffble on $[a, b]$

ϕ' Riemann integ. on $[a, b]$

$$\text{Then } \int_a^b f d\phi = \int_a^b f(x) \phi'(x) dx$$

where the RHS is a regular Riemann integral

Proof Notes

$$\text{Eg} \quad \int_0^1 x^2 d(x^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 \cdot 2x dx = 2 \int_0^1 x^3 dx$$

$$= 2 \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Integration by parts looks nice

Theorem If f, ϕ are both mon inc
common discontinuities on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b f d\phi$

exists.

Then $\int_a^b \phi d\phi$ also exists and

$$\int_a^b \phi(x) d\phi(x) = f(b)\phi(b) - f(a)\phi(a) - \int_a^b f(x) d\phi(x)$$

$$\left[\int_a^b \phi d\phi = (f\phi)_b - \int_a^b f d\phi \right]$$

~~Defn~~

Thm If ϕ is ds on $[a, b]$ and ϕ is monotone increasing on $[a, b]$ then $\int_a^b f d\phi$ exists.

$$\text{Call } F(x) = \int_a^x f d\phi$$

Then

- (1) F is continuous at any pt where ϕ is ds.
- (2) F is diffble at any pt. where ϕ is diffble
and $F'(x) = f(x)\phi'(x)$.