

BOOK 2

FUNCTIONAL

ANALYSIS

Ch 6 NORMED LINEAR SPACES

Def. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

A norm on V is a function $\| \cdot \|$ from $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined for $f \in V$, $0 \leq \| f \| < \infty$ such that

$$(i) \quad \| f \| \geq 0 \quad \forall f \in V$$

$$(ii) \quad \| f \| = 0 \iff f = 0$$

$$(iii) \quad \| f + g \| \leq \| f \| + \| g \| \quad \forall f, g \in V$$

$$(iv) \quad \| \lambda f \| = |\lambda| \| f \| \quad \text{for all scalars } \lambda \quad \forall f \in V.$$

We call $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ a normed vector space (or normed linear space)

Note that if $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm on V , then

$$d(f, g) = \| f - g \| \text{ defines a metric on } V$$

so that it becomes a metric space.

Examples

① \mathbb{R}^n , with the norm $\| x \|_2 = (\sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2})^{1/2}$.
(Euclidean norm)

or $\| x \|_1 = (|x_1| + \dots + |x_n|)$ or $\| x \|_\infty = \max(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|)$

(2) Let (X, μ) be a measure space. Then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

$L^p(X, \mu)$ is a normed vector space (modulo

comments on P. 63 that $\| f \|_p = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0 \text{ a.e.}$)

(3) For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ be the set of all sequences $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ so that

$$\|\underline{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \quad (\text{for } p < \infty - \text{for } p = \infty \\ \|\underline{x}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{1 \leq i < \infty} |x_i|)$$

(4) $C([0, 1])$, the continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$

(5) $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$

$C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$C_0(\mathbb{R})$ - the set of functions which vanish at ∞ .

- - -

In a normed vector space, we can consider Cauchy sequences, convergence and completeness as we did in Ch 1.

Thus • $f_n \rightarrow f$ means $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 • $\{f_n\} \rightarrow$ Cauchy if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N$ s.t. $m, n > N$

$$\Rightarrow \|f_n - f_m\| < \epsilon$$

• $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete if every Cauchy sequence has a limit in V .

All the above examples are complete except $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$!

Banach Spaces

Dfn. A complete normed vector space is called a Banach space.

Thus $L^p(\mathbb{R}, N)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), $C(\mathbb{R})$, $C_0(\mathbb{R})$

ℓ^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) are all examples of Banach spaces.

Dfn. A series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n$ in a normed vector space is convergent if the partial sums $\sum_{n=1}^N v_n = s_N$

is a convergent sequence.

If $s_N \rightarrow s$, we write $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n = s$.

A series $\sum v_n$ converges absolutely if $\sum \|v_n\|$ converges (in \mathbb{R})

Proposition 1 If $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, every abs. cont. seqn. is convergent.

Proof $\|s_m - s_n\| = \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^m v_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^m \|v_i\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Thus s_m is Cauchy. Since V is a Banach space $s_m \rightarrow s$ for some $s \in V$. \square

□

If follows that $v_n \rightarrow v$.

v_n such that $v_n \rightarrow v$.

This v_n is a subsequence of the convergent v , so

\Rightarrow

v_n is absolutely convergent, hence

$$v_n =$$

$(v_{n_1} - v_{n_1}) + \dots + (v_{n_k} - v_{n_1}) + \dots + v_{n_1}$ partial sums

that v_n is a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$. Let's do this

We get $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$

otherwise similarly.

$$\frac{1}{k} > \|v_{n_k} - v_{n_1}\| \Leftrightarrow n_k < N_1$$

Now choose $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $N_2 \geq N_1$ s.t.

Choose $n_1 = N_1$

$\frac{1}{k} > \|v_{n_k} - v_{n_1}\| \Leftrightarrow n_k < N_1$

Let N_1 be s.t. $n_k < N_1$ a Cauchy sequence

sums. Then (v_n) is a Banach space

vector space s.t. every absolutely convergent sum

Proposition Suppose (v_n) is a vector

Hilbert Spaces

Defn. If V is a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} an inner product is a function

$(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(i) \quad (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{f} \in V \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}) = 0 \iff \mathbf{f} = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \overline{(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f})} \quad (\text{complex conjugate})$$

$$(iii) \quad (\alpha \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \alpha (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ (or } \mathbb{R})$$

$$(\mathbf{f}, \alpha \mathbf{g}) = \overline{\alpha} (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$$

$$(iv) \quad (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$$

- - -

We call $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$ an inner product space.

Define $\|\mathbf{f}\| = ((\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}))^{1/2}$

Claim $\|\mathbf{f}\|$ defines a norm on V

Proof Cauchy-Schwarz inequality says

$$|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})| \leq \|\mathbf{f}\| \|\mathbf{g}\|.$$

Let's prove it. If $\mathbf{g} \neq 0$ we put $k = \frac{\mathbf{g}}{\|\mathbf{g}\|}$ and calculate

$$\{(P\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\} \quad 0 \leq \|\mathbf{f} - (\mathbf{f}, k)k\|^2$$

$$= (\mathbf{f} - (\mathbf{f}, k)k, \mathbf{f} - (\mathbf{f}, k)k)$$

$$= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}) - (\mathbf{f}, k)(k, \mathbf{f}) - (\overline{k})(\mathbf{f}, k) + (\mathbf{f}, k)(k, k)$$

$$= (\ell, f) - |(\ell, k)|^2 \quad \text{since } (k, k) = 1$$

$$= \|f\|^2 - |(\ell, k)|^2$$

$$\text{so } |(\ell, g)|^2 = |(f, \|g\|k)|^2$$

$$= \|g\|^2 |(\ell, k)|^2 \leq \|g\|^2 \|f\|^2$$

It follows that $|(\ell, g)| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$. \square

We can now check the \triangleleft inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f+g\|^2 &= (f+g, f+g) = \|f\|^2 + (g, f) + (\ell, g) + \|g\|^2 \\ &= \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\ell, g) \\ &\leq \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + 2 |(\ell, g)| \\ &\leq \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + 2 \|f\| \|g\|. \\ &= (\|f\| + \|g\|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Example. On \mathbb{R}^n , the dot product $\underline{x} \cdot \underline{y}$ is an inner product which gives the Euclidean norm.

$$\text{On } L^2(X, \mu), \quad (\ell, g) = \int f \overline{g} d\mu$$

defines an inner product, whose corresponding norm is $\|f\|_2 = \left(\int |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2}$.

Def

Defn : A vectorspace with an inner product

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is complete w.r.t. the associated norm
is called a Hilbert space.

You can "do" a lot of geometry in Hilbert space
eg the parallelogram law holds

$$\|f+g\|^2 + \|f-g\|^2 = 2(\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2) \quad \square$$

Useful for testing whether a Banach space
is a Hilbert space!

Hilbert spaces are "like" \mathbb{R}^n in many ways
They have orthonormal bases!

Theorem Every Hilbert space H has a basis

$\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is orthonormal in the sense

$$\text{that } (e_n, e_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=m \\ 0 & n \neq m \end{cases}$$

We say that H is separable if the basis is
countable.

Example. The unit ball in an infinite dimensional Hilbert
space is not compact.

Take the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$. Then $\|e_i\|=1$ so
they all belong to the unit ball, but for $i \neq j$, $\|e_i - e_j\| = \sqrt{2}$
so there is no convergent subsequence.

Theorem If K is an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space H , TFAE

(a) The closed linear subspace spanned by K is H

(b) $K \rightarrow$ an orthonormal basis

(c) Parseval's formula holds

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{y \in K} |(x, y)|^2$$

If these conditions hold, we say K is complete.

Then here Denote. $x = \sum_{y \in K} (x, y) y$ (or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, e_n) e_n$)

We say that H_1, H_2 are isometrically isomorphic if \exists a linear ~~map~~ map $T: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which is bijective, with $\|T\|_2 = \|x\|_2$, $H_2 \subset H_1$

Proof. The idea is to define $T: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$

by $T e_i = f_i$ where e_i is an onb for H_1 ,
 $f_i \dots \dots H_2$

It follows from Parseval that $\|T\|_2 = \|x\|_2$,
 for all $x \in H_1$.

$$\operatorname{Re}(\alpha(y_1, x - y_0)) \leq 0$$

Thus $\alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 < z = \alpha \text{ always} \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \text{our set}$

$$0 \leq \|y\|_2^2 - \|y_0\|_2^2 + (\alpha(y_1, x - y_0))^2 \geq 0$$

$$= \|x - y_0\|_2^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha(y_1, x - y_0)) + \|y_1\|_2^2$$

~~$$\Rightarrow \|x - y_0 - \alpha y_1\|_2^2 \leq \|x - y_0\|_2^2$$~~

The $y \in M$ and α is closed, so $y \in M$ is a subspace of H . Thus $y_0 + \alpha y \in M$.

(which is called a Projection Lemma)

$$\|x - y_0\|_2^2 = \|x - y_0 + \alpha y_1\|_2^2 + \|\alpha y_1\|_2^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{if } x \notin M, \text{ then } y_0 = x, \alpha = 0.$$

Now x_0 is uniquely determined

$$x_0 \in H, \quad x \in M \quad \text{be unique} \quad x = x_0 + \alpha y_1$$

Closed linear subspace of H . Thus $x + H$ can

be written (Projection Lemma) as M too

Proof Next prove

$$\|x_0 - y_0\|_2 = \inf_{y \in M} \|x - y\|_2$$

For all $y \in M$ if $y_0 \in H$ S.T.

Let M be a closed convex set in H

Lemma (Projection Lemma)

subspace of H

$$+ \lambda \oplus V = H$$

Lemma for H be Hilbert V a closed linear

proposition $\exists h \in H$ such that

made out from H and H' and the space
from the above lemma the H' is called

\square $\ominus^0 z - z' = h - h'$ since H' is a subspace. Thus
+ H is a subspace of H' since $h - h' \in H$

+ $H + H' = H' + H$ by symmetry of H'

+ $H \rightarrow \ominus^0 h - h' = z - z'$ but means z

so we get $0 = (\ominus^0 h - z) \cap H$

(\Leftarrow) $h - z$ is orthogonal to H \Rightarrow $h - z \in H'$

$$\therefore C \subseteq (\ominus^0 h - z) \cap H$$

Now take $z = 0$ we get $C \subseteq H'$