University of Technology Sydney School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

Mathematical Statistics (37262) – Class 6 Preparation Work SOLUTIONS

1. i) We know that, for $Y \sim Poi(\lambda)$, $E(Y) = \lambda$, hence the first moment of the distribution is $m_1 = \lambda$.

The first sample moment is $s_1 = \overline{y} = \frac{y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n}{n}$.

Applying the method of moments and matching the first moment, we

obtain
$$m_1 = s_1$$
 and hence $\hat{\lambda}_{MM} = \frac{y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_n}{n}$.

ii)
$$L(\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\} \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!}\right) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \left(e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!} = \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!}.$$

iii)
$$\ell(\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\} \mid \lambda) = \ln(L(\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\} \mid \lambda))$$
$$= -n\lambda + \ln(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!\right).$$

iv) We maximise the loglikelihood (and hence also the likelihood) but finding when the derivative with respect to λ is equal to zero.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ell(\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \mid \lambda) = -n + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i .$$

Solving $-n + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 0$ gives $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ and hence $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \hat{\lambda}_{MM} .$

i)
$$s_1 = \overline{z} = \frac{1+4+3+3+3}{5} = \frac{14}{5} = 2.8$$

and

$$s_2 = \frac{1^2 + 4^2 + 3^2 + 3^2 + 3^2}{5} = \frac{44}{5} = 8.8$$

ii) For $Z \sim Bin(n, p)$, we have

$$E(Z) = np$$
 and $E(Z^2) = Var(Z) + E(Z)^2 = np(1-p) + (np)^2$

Applying the method of moments and matching the first two moments, we obtain np = 2.8 and $np(1-p) + (np)^2 = 8.8$.

Solving these gives $2.8(1-p) + 2.8^2 = 8.8$ hence $\frac{8.8 - 2.8^2}{2.8} = 1-p$, so

$$\frac{8.8-2.8^2}{2.8}=1-p\,.$$

This gives $\hat{p}_{MM} = 1 - \frac{8.8}{2.8} + 2.8 = \frac{23}{35}$ and hence $\hat{n}_{MM} = 2.8 \left(\frac{35}{23}\right) = \frac{98}{23}$.

2.

iii)
$$L(\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_5\} \mid p) = \prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{5!}{z_i ! (5 - z_i)!} p^{z_i} (1 - p)^{(5 - z_i)} \right) \text{ hence}$$
$$L(\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_5\} \mid p) = \prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{5!}{z_i ! (5 - z_i)!} \right) p^{\sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i} (1 - p)^{\sum_{i=1}^{5} (5 - z_i)}.$$

This gives

iv)

$$\ell(\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_5\} \mid p) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i \ln(p) + \sum_{i=1}^{5} (5 - z_i) \ln(1 - p) + \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{5!}{z_i!(5 - z_i)!}\right)\right)$$

Maximising this, we solve $\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \ell(\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_5\} \mid p) = 0$.
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \ell(\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_5\} \mid p) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i - \frac{1}{1 - p} \sum_{i=1}^{5} (5 - z_i)$ hence we solve
 $(1 - p) \sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i - p \sum_{i=1}^{5} (5 - z_i) = 0$, which gives
 $\sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i - p \sum_{i=1}^{5} (5 - z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i - p \sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i - p \left(25 - \sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i\right) = 0$.
 $\sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i - 25p = 0$ hence $\hat{p}_{MLE} = \frac{1}{25} \sum_{i=1}^{5} z_i = \frac{14}{25} = 0.56$.

$$L(\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_5\} \mid n) = \prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{n!}{z_i!(n-z_i)!} 0.5^{z_i} (0.5)^{(n-z_i)} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{n!}{z_i!(n-z_i)!} 0.5^n \right)$$

This cannot readily be maximised by a derivative since the factorial function is only defined for integer values hence is differentiable nowhere.

We have seen an average of $\frac{14}{5} = 2.8$ on the binomial trials. Given that we now know that p = 0.5, this implies that *n* should be close to 5.6. We therefore compare n=5 and n=6 and select whichever gives a larger likelihood.

$$L(\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_5\} \mid n = 5) = \prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{5!}{z_i!(5 - z_i)!} 0.5^5\right) \approx 0.000745$$
$$L(\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_5\} \mid n = 6) = \prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{6!}{z_i!(6 - z_i)!} 0.5^6\right) \approx 0.000671$$

hence we conclude that $\hat{n}_{\scriptscriptstyle MLE} = 5$.