

University of Technology Sydney
School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

Mathematical Statistics (37262) –
 Tutorial 11
 SOLUTIONS

1. i) $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \lambda) = (\lambda e^{-\lambda x_1})(\lambda e^{-\lambda x_2}) \dots (\lambda e^{-\lambda x_n}) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda(x_1 + \dots + x_n)}$

ii) A conjugate prior is one such that, when combined with the likelihood function, gives a posterior distribution of the same form/family, albeit with different parameter values.

iii) $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda(x_1 + \dots + x_n)}$. $f(\lambda) = \frac{2^4 \lambda^3 e^{-2\lambda}}{\Gamma(4)}$.

The posterior is proportional to the prior multiplied by the likelihood

hence $f(\lambda | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \propto \lambda^n e^{-\lambda(x_1 + \dots + x_n)} \times \frac{2^4 \lambda^3 e^{-2\lambda}}{\Gamma(4)}$.

$f(\lambda | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \propto \lambda^{n+3} e^{-\lambda(2 + x_1 + \dots + x_n)}$ hence

$\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(4 + n, 2 + x_1 + \dots + x_n)$.

Here, $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(14, 7)$

iv) The prior mean was 2 and variance 1. The additional observations seemed to confirm the prior belief, keeping the posterior mean at 2 but shrinking the posterior variance to $\frac{2}{7}$, suggesting increased belief that the rate is close to 2.

2.

Let a set of observations be $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n)$.

The likelihood function is then $L(\Theta | \omega) = \frac{\omega m^\omega}{\theta_1^{\omega+1}} \frac{\omega m^\omega}{\theta_2^{\omega+1}} \dots \frac{\omega m^\omega}{\theta_n^{\omega+1}}$ (assuming all $\theta_i \geq m$, else the likelihood is zero.)

$$L(\Theta | \omega) = \frac{\omega^n m^{n\omega}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{\omega+1}}. \text{ Combined with the prior density}$$

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta^\alpha \omega^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\omega}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & \omega \in [0, \infty) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ we obtain a posterior density of}$$

$$f(\omega | \Theta) = L(\Theta | \omega) f(\omega) = \frac{\omega^n m^{n\omega}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{\omega+1}} \frac{\beta^\alpha \omega^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\omega}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \propto \omega^{\alpha+n-1} e^{-\beta\omega} \frac{m^{n\omega}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i^{\omega+1}}$$

$$\propto \omega^{\alpha+n-1} e^{-\beta\omega} e^{n\omega \ln(m)} e^{-(\omega+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\theta_i)} \propto \omega^{\alpha+n-1} e^{-\omega(\beta - n \ln(m) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\theta_i))} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\theta_i)}$$

Note now that the term $e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\theta_i)}$ can be considered constant with respect to ω hence

$$f(\omega | \Theta) \propto \omega^{\alpha+n-1} e^{-\omega(\beta - n \ln(m) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\theta_i))}$$

This tells us that

$$f(\omega | \Theta) = L(\Theta | \omega) f(\omega) \propto \omega^{\alpha+n-1} \exp \left(-\omega \left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\theta_i) - n \ln(m) \right) \right) \text{ or,}$$

simplifying this,

$$f(\omega | \Theta) \propto \omega^{\alpha+n-1} \exp \left(-\omega \left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\frac{\theta_i}{m} \right) \right) \right)$$

This gives that the posterior density is a Gamma variable

$$\text{Gamma} \left(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\frac{\theta_i}{m} \right) \right).$$

3.

$$i) \quad X \sim U[0, \theta] \text{ therefore } f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & x \in [0, \theta] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$ii) \quad L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1}{\theta} \right] \left[\frac{1}{\theta} \right] \dots \left[\frac{1}{\theta} \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^n} & x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n} & x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$iii) \quad f(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \propto L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) f(\theta) \text{ so}$$

$$f(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \propto \left[\frac{1}{\theta^n} \right] \left[\frac{am^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} \right] \propto \frac{1}{\theta^{n+\alpha+1}} \text{ for } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, m \leq \theta.$$

Since we need all x_i to be no greater than θ and also need m to be no greater than θ , we have that $M = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, m\}$ is the lower bound on θ .

This gives that the posterior distribution for θ is a *Pareto*($M, \alpha + n$) variable where $M = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, m\}$.

iv) Yes. If the prior and posterior distributions are the same type of variable (in this case, both Pareto distributed) then the prior distribution is a conjugate prior for the likelihood function.