# Stochastic Processes and Financial Mathematics (37363)

Chapter 5

Markov processes, discrete-time Markov chains

Alex Novikov and Scott Alexander

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, UTS

Autumn 2025

Topics:

- Definition and general properties
- Gaussian Markov processes
- Chapman-Kolmogorov equations
  - Continuous Markov process
  - Discrete Markov process (Markov chain)
  - Homogenous Markov chain
- Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

#### Definition 1 (Markov process)

A SP  $X_t$ ,  $t \in D$ , is a Markov process if for each

$$t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq t < t+s$$

the conditional probability

$$P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_{t_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} = x_n, X_t = x) = P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_t = x).$$

If the distribution  $P(X_{t+s} \le y | X_t = x)$  is independent of t, then the Markov processes  $X_t$  is said to have homogeneous transition probabilities.

Markov processes may be discrete-time or continuous-time processes.

They may also be discrete-space or continuous-space processes.

#### Markov property

P("future" | "past and present") = P("future" | "present")

#### Remark.

In terms of conditional characteristic functions, the Markov property means that for each

 $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq t < t+s$ 

and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$E[e^{iuX_{t+s}}|X_{t_1},\ldots,X_{t_n},X_t]=E[e^{iuX_{t+s}}|X_t]$$

reflecting the one-to-one correspondence between distributions and CFs.

## Definition and general properties

A discrete-time Markov process can be written as

$$X_t = g_{t-1}(X_{t-1}, Y_t), \ t = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where the function  $g_t(x, y)$  is non-random and  $X_0, Y_1, Y_2, ...$  are independent RVs.

#### Examples.

The AR(1) process

$$X_t = \lambda X_{t-1} + e_t$$

is Markov but AR(p) processes with p = 2, 3, ... are not.

However, we can convert an AR(p) process into a *p*-dimensional Markov process.

For example, consider the AR(2) process

$$X_t = \lambda_1 X_{t-1} + \lambda_2 X_{t-2} + e_t$$

where  $e_t$  are independent RVs and  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ , which obviously is not Markov.

However, the 2-dimensional process

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_t &= \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ X_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 X_{t-1} + \lambda_2 X_{t-2} + \mathbf{e}_t \\ X_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{t-1} \\ X_{t-2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{t-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is a 2-dimensional Markov process.

Other examples include white noise, random walks, Brownian motion (BM), geometric Brownian motion (gBM) etc., all of which have homogeneous transition probabilities.

Many of the Gaussian processes considered in Chapter 4 are Markov processes, for which many useful results are known.

The first presented here provides a practical means for checking whether a Gaussian process is Markov.

#### Theorem 1 (Gaussian-Markov criteria)

Let  $X_t$   $t \in D$ , be a Gaussian process with covariance function Q(t, s). Then  $X_t$  is a Markov process i.f.f. for any  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ ,  $t_i \in D$ ,

$$Q(t_1, t_2)Q(t_2, t_3) = Q(t_1, t_3)Q(t_2, t_2)$$
(1)

or equivalently

$$E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}] = E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_2}]$$
(2)

#### Proof.

We aim to show that that Markovian  $\implies (2) \implies (1) \implies$  Markovian under the assumption that  $var(X_t, X_t) = Q(t, t) > 0$  for all t.

Proof that Markovian  $\implies$  (2)  $\implies$  (1). Note that

$$\begin{split} E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x dF_{X_{t_3}|X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}}(x) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x dF_{X_{t_3}|X_{t_2}}(x) \quad \text{(Makov property)} \\ &= E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_2}] \end{split}$$

which is (2).

By Part 2 of TNC (see Chapter 2) we know that

$$X_{t_3} - E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_1},X_{t_2}]$$
 and  $(X_{t_1},X_{t_2})^{\mathcal{T}}$ 

are independent.

It follows from (2) that

$$X_{t_3} - E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_2}]$$
 and  $(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2})^T$ 

are independent so

$$X_{t_3} - E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_2}]$$
 and  $X_{t_1}$ 

must also be independent giving us

$$cov(X_{t_3} - E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_2}], X_{t_1}) = 0$$

or more conveniently

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t_1, t_3) &= \operatorname{cov}(X_{t_1}, X_{t_3}) = \operatorname{cov}(X_{t_1}, E[X_{t_3}|X_{t_2}]) \\ &= \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t_1}, E[X_{t_3}] + \frac{Q(t_2, t_3)}{Q(t_2, t_2)}(X_{t_2} - E[X_{t_2}])\right) \\ &(\text{Part 3 TNC}) \\ &= \operatorname{cov}(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}) \frac{Q(t_2, t_3)}{Q(t_2, t_2)} = Q(t_1, t_2) \frac{Q(t_2, t_3)}{Q(t_2, t_2)} \end{aligned}$$

which is (1).

Proof that  $(1) \implies Markovian$ . The first part of this requires showing that

$$X_{t+s} - E[X_{t+s}|X_t]$$
 and  $(X_{t_1},\ldots,X_{t_n})^T$ 

are independent.

To do so note that for  $t > t_1$  and s > 0  $cov(X_{t+s} - E[X_{t+s}|X_t], X_{t_1}) = cov(X_{t+s}, X_{t_1}) - cov(E[X_{t+s}|X_t], X_{t_1})$   $= Q(t + s, t_1) - cov\left(E[X_{t+s}] + \frac{Q(t + s, t)}{Q(t, t)}(X_t - E[X_t]), X_{t_1}\right)$ (Part 3 TNC)  $= Q(t + s, t_1) - \frac{Q(t + s, t)}{Q(t, t)}Q(t, t_1) = 0$ 

by (1) which by Part 1 TNC shows that

$$X_{t+s} - E[X_{t+s}|X_t]$$
 and  $X_{t_1}$ 

are independent.

Repeating this procedure (with suitable modification) we find

$$\operatorname{cov}(X_{t+s} - E[X_{t+s}|X_t], X_{t_i}) = 0$$

for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  giving us the independence of

$$X_{t+s} - E[X_{t+s}|X_t]$$
 and  $(X_{t_1}, ..., X_{t_n})^T$ 

as required. Then

$$\begin{split} E[e^{iuX_{t+s}}|X_{t_1},\ldots,X_{t_n},X_t] \\ &= E\left[\frac{e^{iuE[X_{t+s}|X_t]}}{e^{iuE[X_{t+s}|X_t]}}e^{iuX_{t+s}}|X_{t_1},\ldots,X_{t_n},X_t\right] \\ &= e^{iuE[X_{t+s}|X_t]}E[e^{iu(X_{t+s}-E[X_{t+s}|X_t])}|X_{t_1},\ldots,X_{t_n},X_t] \\ &= e^{iuE[X_{t+s}|X_t]}E[e^{iu(X_{t+s}-E[X_{t+s}|X_t])}|X_t] \\ &= e^{iuE[X_{t+s}|X_t]}e^{-iuE[X_{t+s}|X_t]}E[e^{iuX_{t+s}}|X_t] \\ &= E[e^{iuX_{t+s}}|X_t]. \end{split}$$

By the one-to-one correspondence of CFs and distributions this can be restated as the Markov property

$$P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_{t_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} = x_n, X_t = x) = P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_t = x).$$

Another important result provides the form of the covariance function for continuous, stationary Gaussian-Markov processes.

#### Theorem 2 (continuous stationary Gaussian-Markov covariance function)

Let  $X_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a continuous, stationary Gaussian-Markov process with covariance function Q(s, t) = q(t - s). Then

$$Q(s,t)=q(t-s)=\sigma^2e^{-lpha|t-s|}, \ \ \sigma\geq 0, \ \ lpha\geq 0,$$

where  $\sigma^2 = var(X_t)$ .

#### Proof.

Assume  $\operatorname{var}(X_t) = Q(t,t) = q(0) > 0$  and note by stationarity

$$f(u) := \frac{q(u)}{q(0)} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(X_t, X_{t+u})}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X_t)}\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X_{t+u})}} = \operatorname{corr}(X_t, X_{t+u})$$

so that

 $|f(u)| \leq 1$ 

and further by stationarity

$$f(u) = \operatorname{corr}(X_t, X_{t+u}) = \operatorname{corr}(X_t, X_{t-u}) = f(-u)$$

Now let  $u = t_2 - t_1$  and  $v = t_3 - t_2$  and observe that

$$Q(t_1, t_2)Q(t_2, t_3) = Q(t_1, t_3)Q(t_2, t_2)$$

can be re-written as

$$q(u)q(v) = q(u+v)q(0)$$

or

$$\frac{q(u)}{q(0)}\frac{q(v)}{q(0)} = \frac{q(u+v)}{q(0)}$$

which is the functional equation

$$f(u)f(v)=f(u+v)$$

with solution  $f(u) = e^{-\alpha u}$ , unique among continuous functions.

But f(u) = f(-u) so  $f(u) = e^{-\alpha |u|}$ 

where  $\alpha \geq 0$  further restricts  $0 < f(u) \leq 1$ .

Then

$$Q(s,t)=q(t-s)=q(0)f(t-s)=\sigma^2e^{-\alpha|t-s|}.$$

## **Exercise.** Show that a Brownian bridge $B_t^b$ , $t \in [0, 1]$ , is a Markov process.

Solution. As class work.

Let  $X_t$  be a Markov process (discrete or continuous-time).

If the values  $X_t$  takes is an uncountable set (i.e.  $X_t$  is continuous-space process) and  $X_t$  has a density (i.e.  $X_t$  is absolutely continuous), then the Markov property

$$P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_{t_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} = x_n, X_t = x) = P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_t = x)$$

for

$$t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq t < t+s$$

is equivalent to

$$f_{X_{t+s}}(y|X_{t_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} = x_n, X_t = x) = f_{X_{t+s}}(y|X_t = x)$$

where  $f_{X_{t+s}}$  is conditional or transition density.

## C-K eqns – continuous Markov process

#### Theorem 3 (C-K equation for continuous Markov process)

Let  $X_t$  be a continuous Markov process (discrete or continuous-time) with transition density function f(y, u|x, t).

Then

1 the *n*-dimensional density

$$f(x_1, t_1; \ldots; x_n, t_n) = f(x_1, t_1) \prod_{i=2}^n f(x_i, t_i | x_{i-1}, t_{i-1})$$

2 the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation

$$f(x_3, t_3|x_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_2, t_2|x_1, t_1) f(x_3, t_3|x_2, t_2) dx_2$$

holds for any  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ .

Let  $X_t$  be a Markov process (discrete or continuous-time).

If the values  $X_t$  takes is a countable set (i.e.  $X_t$  is discrete-space process) then we call this a **Markov chain**, which satisfies the Markov property

$$P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_{t_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} = x_n, X_t = x) = P(X_{t+s} \leq y | X_t = x)$$

for

$$t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq t < t+s.$$

We shall obtain the equations for the transition probabilities of Markov chains

$$p(y,t|x,s) := P(X_t = y|X_s = x)$$

for both discrete and continuous-times cases in later sections.

## C-K eqns – discrete Markov process (Markov chain)

#### Theorem 4 (C-K equation for Markov chain)

Let  $X_t$  be a Markov chain (discrete or continuous-time) with transition probabilities p(y, u|x, t).

Then

1 the *n*-dimensional distribution

$$P(X_{t_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} = x_n) = P(X_{t_1} = x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n p(x_i, t_i | x_{i-1}, t_{i-1})$$

2 the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation

$$p(x_3, t_3|x_1, t_1) = \sum_{x_2} p(x_2, t_2|x_1, t_1) p(x_3, t_3|x_2, t_2)$$

holds for any  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ .

A Markov chain is called "homogenous" if the transition probabilities p(y, u|x, t) don't depend on t and u but rather on u - t.

Set

$$p_{ij}(s) := P(X_{t+s} = x_j | X_t = x_i).$$

Then the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation becomes

$$p_{ij}(s+u) = \sum_{k} p_{ik}(s) p_{kj}(u)$$
(3)

for any  $s \ge 0$  and  $u \ge 0$ .

## C-K eqns – homogenous Markov chain

To see this, set  $s = t_2 - t_1$  and  $u = t_3 - t_2$  so  $s + u = t_3 - t_1$  into the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation from Theorem 4 so

$$egin{aligned} &p_{ij}(s+u) = p(x_j, t_3 | x_i, t_1) = \sum_{x_k} p(x_k, t_2 | x_i, t_1) p(x_j, t_3 | x_k, t_2) \ &= \sum_k p_{ik}(s) p_{kj}(u). \end{aligned}$$

It is often convenient to collect the transition probabilities together into the "transition probability matrix"

$$\boldsymbol{P}(s) = (p_{ij}(s))_{1 \le i,j \le n} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(s) & p_{12}(s) & \cdots & p_{1n}(s) \\ p_{21}(s) & p_{22}(s) & \cdots & p_{2n}(s) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{n1}(s) & p_{n2}(s) & \cdots & p_{nn}(s) \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

where n is the dimension of the state space.

## C-K eqns – homogenous Markov chain

Note that all rows of P(s) sum to one, i.e.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(s) = 1 ext{ for all } i \in \{1,\ldots,n\},$$

as  $X_t$  has to move to some state.

We also need the probability of the Markov chain being in particular states, so set

$$p_i(t) := P(X_t = x_i) \tag{5}$$

and the state probability vector

$$\boldsymbol{p}(t) = (p_i(t))_{1 \le i \le n} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1(t) \\ p_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ p_n(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) = 1$  as  $X_t$  has to be in some state.

The following proposition brings these ideas together in convenient matrix form.

#### Proposition 1 (homogenous Markov chain probabilities)

Let  $X_t$  be a homogenous Markov chain (discrete or continuous-time) taking *n* states with transition probability matrix P(s) given by (4) and state probability vector p(t) given by (5).

Then the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation can be written in matrix notation as

$$\boldsymbol{P}(s+u) = \boldsymbol{P}(s)\boldsymbol{P}(u) \tag{6}$$

and state probability vector calculated as

$$\boldsymbol{p}(t+s) = \boldsymbol{P}^{T}(s)\boldsymbol{p}(t). \tag{7}$$

## C-K eqns - homogenous Markov chain

#### Proof.

It is obvious that (6) follows from (3) and (4).

To see that (7) holds, we consider the case n = 2 and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{P}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{p}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(s) & p_{21}(s) \\ p_{12}(s) & p_{22}(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{1}(t) \\ p_{2}(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(s) p_{1}(t) + p_{21}(s) p_{2}(t) \\ p_{12}(s) p_{1}(t) + p_{22}(s) p_{2}(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{1}(t+s) \\ p_{2}(t+s) \end{pmatrix} \\ & \text{(total law of probability - see Chapter 1)} \\ &= \boldsymbol{p}(t+s) \end{aligned}$$

which generalises to the case n = 3, 4, ... without difficulty.

## Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

In this section we consider a discrete-time, homogeneous Markov chain  $X_t$  with *n* states and  $t \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ .

First note from the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation (6) of Proposition 1 that the *s*-step transition probability matrix

$$\boldsymbol{P}(s) = \boldsymbol{P}(1)\boldsymbol{P}(s-1) = (\boldsymbol{P}(1))^{2}\boldsymbol{P}(s-2) = \cdots = (\boldsymbol{P}(1))^{s}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{P}^{s}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{P} := \boldsymbol{P}(1)$  is the 1-step transition probability matrix.

So the state probability vector

$$\boldsymbol{p}(t+s) = (\boldsymbol{P}^T)^s \boldsymbol{p}(t) \implies \boldsymbol{p}(t) = (\boldsymbol{P}^T)^t \boldsymbol{p}(0)$$

or in scalar form

$$p_j(t+s)=\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t)p_{ij}(s) \implies p_j(t)=\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(0)p_{ij}(t).$$

## Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

#### Example (a weather model).

Let the Markov chain  $X_t$  be a weather model with n = 2 states

- 1  $x_1 = 1$  (rain)
- 2 x<sub>2</sub> = 2 (no rain)

with 1-step transition probability matrix

$$oldsymbol{P} = (oldsymbol{p}_{ij}) = egin{pmatrix} lpha & 1-lpha \ eta & 1-eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Sometimes it makes more sense to number the states of the Markov chain from 0 to n, as the next example shows.

#### Example (a gambling model).

Let the Markov chain  $X_t$  be the fortune of a gambler with states (wealth)

$$x_i = i$$
 for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots n\}$ 

who quits when either  $X_t = x_0 = 0$  or  $X_t = x_n = n$ .

If the gambler gambles at each time step with probability of winning a dollar at each time step

$$p_{i,i+1}(1) = p$$

and of losing a dollar at each time step

$$p_{i,i-1}(1) = 1 - p,$$

then the 1-step transition probability matrix for the case n = 3 is

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1-p & 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 1-p & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $X_t = x_0 = 0$  and  $X_t = x_n = n$  are **absorbing states**.

## Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

#### LIMITING PROBABILITIES.

#### Theorem 5 (ergodic discrete-time Markov chain)

A homogenous Markov chain  $X_t$  is called ergodic if  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbf{p}(t)$  exists and this limit does not depend on the initial distribution  $\mathbf{p}(0)$ .

If a Markov chain is ergodic, then for all initial states i

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} p_{ij}(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} p_j(t) = \pi_j \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \boldsymbol{p}(t) = \boldsymbol{\pi}$$

so that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \boldsymbol{p}(t+1) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \left( \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{p}(t) \right)$$

becomes

$$\pi = \mathbf{P}^T \pi$$
 subject to  $\sum_{j=1}^n \pi_j = 1,$  (8)

where  $\pi$  is the stationary distribution of  $X_t$ .

## Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

Note that if  $X_t$  starts from the stationary distribution, i.e  $p(0) = \pi$ , then

$$\boldsymbol{p}(1) = \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{p}(0) = \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\pi}$$

and so

$$\boldsymbol{p}(t) = (\boldsymbol{P}^{T})^{t} \boldsymbol{p}(0)$$
  
=  $(\boldsymbol{P}^{T})^{t-1} \boldsymbol{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{p}(0) = (\boldsymbol{P}^{T})^{t-1} \pi$   
=  $(\boldsymbol{P}^{T})^{t-2} \boldsymbol{P}^{T} \pi = (\boldsymbol{P}^{T})^{t-2} \pi$   
=  $(\boldsymbol{P}^{T})^{t-3} \boldsymbol{P}^{T} \pi = (\boldsymbol{P}^{T})^{t-3} \pi$   
:  
=  $\boldsymbol{P}^{T} \pi = \pi$ 

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

#### Example (a weather model) cont.

Recall the weather model example with 1-step transition probability matrix

$$oldsymbol{P} = (p_{ij}) = egin{pmatrix} lpha & 1-lpha \ eta & 1-eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The stationary distribution  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2)^T$  is the solution of the problem

$$oldsymbol{\pi} = oldsymbol{P}^{\mathcal{T}}oldsymbol{\pi}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \pi_i = 1 \quad ext{and} \quad \pi_1, \pi_2 \geq 0.$$

Note that this is an eigenvector problem corresponding to an eigenvalue of one, but with the added condition that the eigenvector components must be non-negative and sum to one as they are probabilities. In scalar form we solve

$$\pi_1 = \alpha \pi_1 + \beta \pi_2 \pi_2 = (1 - \alpha) \pi_1 + (1 - \beta) \pi_2 1 = \pi_1 + \pi_2$$

which gives the unique stationary distribution

$$\pi_1 = \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha+\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\beta}$$

so long as

$$1 - \alpha + \beta > 0$$

which is when  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ .

## Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

The case  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$  is considered separately.

If lpha=1 and eta=0 then

$$oldsymbol{P} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in scalar form we solve

$$\pi_1 = \pi_1$$
  
 $\pi_2 = \pi_2$   
 $1 = \pi_1 + \pi_2$ 

and, hence, any vector  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)^T$  with  $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$ ,  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \ge 0$ , is a stationary distribution as

$$oldsymbol{P}^{ op} \pi = \pi$$

irrespective of  $\pi$ .

We should not always expect the stationary distribution to be unique, but the following theorem tells us when we may.

#### Proposition 2 (ergodicity and stationarity)

If a Markov chain is ergodic then the stationary distribution is unique.

#### Proof.

Assume there exist two different stationary distributions of a Markov chain, say  $\pi$  and  $\pi'.$ 

If we take  $\boldsymbol{p}(0) = \boldsymbol{\pi}$ , then  $\boldsymbol{p}(t) = \boldsymbol{\pi}$  for all t and so  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \boldsymbol{p}(t) = \boldsymbol{\pi}$ .

By the same reasoning, if we take  $m{p}(0)=\pi'$ , then  $\lim_{t o\infty}m{p}(t)=\pi'.$ 

But this contradicts the definition of ergodicity, which states that this limit should not depend on p(0).

## Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

#### Example.

Consider a Markov chain with 1-step transition probability martrix

$$\mathbf{P} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has unique stationary distribution  $\pi^T = (\pi_1, \pi_2)^T = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^T$ .

But if  $\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathcal{T}}(0) = (1,0)^{\mathcal{T}}$  then

$$\boldsymbol{p}(1) = \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{p}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{p}(2) = \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{p}(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{p}(3) = \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{p}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

etc., and we see that the limit does not exist contradicting ergodicity.

## Discrete-time homogenous Markov chains

The next theorem provides simple but sufficient conditions for ergodicity.

Theorem 6 (sufficient condition for ergodicity)

Let  $X_t$  be a Markov chain taking a finite number of states. If there exists  $s_0$  such that

 $\min_{i,j\geq 1}p_{ij}(s_0)>0,$ 

then  $X_t$  is ergodic with unique stationary distribution  $\pi$  and for all *i* and *j* 

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}p_{ij}(s)=\lim_{t\to\infty}p_j(t)=\pi_j>0.$$

Also, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  and c > 0 such that for all t and j

$$|p_{ij}(s) - \pi_j| < ce^{-\lambda s}.$$

Proof.

Rather technical so omitted.

The next theorem provides another means for checking if a Markov chain is ergodic.

#### Theorem 7 (sufficient condition for ergodicity)

The Markov chain is ergodic when

- all states communicate and the chain is aperiodic (see Definition 2 page 46).
- 2 the Markov chain is positively recurrent; i.e., starting in any state the mean time to return to that state is finite.

## Proof.

Omitted.
#### Example.

Let  $X_t$ ,  $t \in \{0, 1, ...\}$ , be a Markov chain with states  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and 1-step transition probability matrix

$$m{P} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} \ 1 & 0 & 0 \ rac{1}{6} & rac{1}{3} & rac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the 100-step transition probability matrix is

$$\boldsymbol{P}(100) = \boldsymbol{P}^{100} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.352941 & 0.294118 & 0.352941 \\ 0.352941 & 0.294118 & 0.352941 \\ 0.352941 & 0.294118 & 0.352941 \end{pmatrix}$$

and stationary distribution

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 6/17 \\ 5/17 \\ 6/17 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.352941 \\ 0.294118 \\ 0.352941 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Mathematica example below shows how to calculate  $P^{100}$ .

PP = {{0, 1/2, 1/2}, {1, 0, 0}, {1/6, 1/3, 1/2}}; MatrixPower[PP, 100] // N // MatrixForm

> 0.352941 0.294118 0.352941 0.352941 0.294118 0.352941 0.352941 0.294118 0.352941 0.352941 0.294118 0.352941

The Mathematica example below shows how to calculate  $\pi$ .

```
PP = { {0, 1/2, 1/2}, {1, 0, 0}, {1/6, 1/3, 1/2} };
eigensys = Eigensystem[Transpose[PP]];
eigensys[[2, 1]] / Total[eigensys[[2, 1]]] // N // MatrixForm
```

```
(0.352941
0.294118
0.352941
```

The last line re-weights the eigenvectors so they are non-negative and sum to one.

#### CLASSIFICATION OF STATES.

State  $x_i$  is said to be **absorbing** if once entered it is never left, i.e.  $p_{ii}(s) = 1$  and  $p_{ij}(s) = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ , for all s > 0.

State  $x_j$  is said to be **accessible** from state  $x_i$  if  $p_{ij}(s) > 0$  for some s > 0.

Two states  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  that are accessible to each other are said to **communicate** and we write  $i \leftrightarrow j$ .

Obviously, if  $i \longleftrightarrow j$  and  $j \longleftrightarrow k$  then  $i \longleftrightarrow k$ .

Two states that communicate are said to be the same class.

The Markov chain is said to be **irreducible** if there is only one class, that is all states communicate with each other.

For any state  $x_i$  we let  $f_i$  denote the probability that, starting in state  $x_i$ , the process will reenter state  $x_i$ 

State  $x_i$  is said to be **recurrent** if  $f_i = 1$  and **transient** if  $f_i < 1$ .

Denote

$$\xi_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} I(X_t = x_i),$$

*I* the indicator function, as the number of time periods that the process is in state  $x_i$ .

If state  $x_i$  is transient then, starting in  $x_i$ , the RV  $\xi_i$  has the geometric distribution; i.e.

$$P(\xi_i = k | X_0 = x_i) = f_i^{k-1}(1 - f_i), \quad k = 1, 2, ...,$$

and therefore

$$E[\xi_i|X_0=x_i]=\frac{1}{1-f_i}<\infty.$$

If state  $x_i$  is recurrent then, starting in  $x_i$ , the process  $X_t$  visits the state  $x_i$  infinitely many times and therefore

$$E[\xi_i|X_0=x_i]=\infty.$$

The following results assist in identifying recurrent states.

Proposition 3 (recurrent communicating states)

If state  $x_i$  of a Markov chain is recurrent and  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , then state  $x_j$  is recurrent.

#### Proposition 4 (recurrent states in irreducible Markov chain)

All states in a finite-state irreducible Markov chain are recurrent.

**Proofs.** Omitted.

### Example.

Consider a Markov chain consisting of four states  $\{1,2,3,4\}$  with the 1-step transition probability matrix

$$oldsymbol{P} = egin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \ 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ \end{pmatrix}$$

The classes of this Markov chain are  $\{1,2\},\,\{3\}$  and  $\{4\}.$ 

State 4 is an **absorbing** state.

We can use Mathematica to plot a network diagram of the Markov chain, which can assist in classifying states and identifying classes.

PP = { {1/2, 1/2, 0, 0}, {1/2, 1/2, 0, 0}, {1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4}, {0, 0, 0, 1} }; Graph [DiscreteMarkovProcess [4, PP]]



We see that states 1, 2 and 3 are transient states and state 4 is recurrent.

The class  $\{3\}$  is transient and classes  $\{1,2\}$  and  $\{4\}$  are recurrent.

#### Example.

Consider a Markov chain consisting of five states  $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$  with the 1-step transition probability matrix

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The classes of this Markov chain are  $\{1,2\}$ ,  $\{3,4\}$  and  $\{5\}$ .

Using Mathematica to visualise the Markov chan state transitions.

 $PP = \{\{1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, 0\}, \{1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1/2, 1/2, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1/2, 1/2, 0\}, \{1/4, 1/4, 0, 0, 1/2\}\};$ 

Graph[DiscreteMarkovProcess[5, PP]]



All states are transient.

The class  $\{5\}$  is transient and classes  $\{1,2\}$  and  $\{3,4\}$  are recurrent.

To wrap up this section we need the concept of the **period** of states of a Markov chain.

#### Definition 2 (period of Markov chain state)

State  $x_i$  is said to have period  $d_i$  if  $p_{ii}(s) = 0$  whenever s is not divisible by  $d_i$ .

The period of state  $x_i$ ,  $d_i$ , is the greatest common divisor of s with this property.

A state  $x_i$  with period  $d_i = 1$  is said to be aperiodic.

#### Proposition 5 (period as class property)

Periodicity is a class property, i.e. if  $i \leftrightarrow j$  and state  $x_i$  has period  $d_i$ , then state j also has period  $d_j = d_i$ .

#### Example.

Consider the Markov chain with 1-step transition probability matrix

$$oldsymbol{P}(1) = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let's use Mathematica for the calculations.

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{PP} = \{\{\emptyset, \emptyset, 1\}, \{1, \emptyset, 0\}, \{\emptyset, 1, 0\}\};\\ & \mathsf{Table}[\mathsf{MatrixPower}[\mathsf{PP}, \mathsf{n}] // \mathsf{MatrixForm}, \{\mathsf{n}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}]\\ & \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \}\end{aligned}$ Then  $d_i = 3$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Theorem 8 (irreducible, aperiodic finite-state Markov chain)

For an irreducible aperiodic finite-state Markov chain,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\boldsymbol{p}(t)=\boldsymbol{\pi}=(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_n)^T$$

exists, is independent of initial distribution (Markov chain is ergodic) and can be found by solving (8).

Also, for any initial distribution,

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}p_{ij}(s)=\pi_j$$

exists and is independent of *i*.

## References I