



**Introduction to Optimisation:** 

# **Duality of Linear Programming**

Lectures 5-6

Lecture notes by Dr. Julia Memar and Dr. Hanyu Gu and with an acknowledgement to Dr.FJ Hwang and Dr.Van Ha Do

**Example 1:** Firm A aims to produce 7kg of gold and 2kg of nickel to meet a contract. Each tonne of ore from mine 1 yields 2kg of gold and 1kg of nickel, whilst each tonne of ore from mine 2 yields 5kg of gold and 4kg of nickel. Mining one tonne from mine 1 costs \$300, but costs \$100 from mine 2. The objective of firm A is to minimise the cost of producing enough gold and nickel to meet the contract.

Summary:

	Gold (kg/tonne)	Nickel (kg/tonne)	Cost (\$100/tonne)
Mine 1			
Mine 2			
Demand (kg)			

Formulation:



**Example 1**: Now suppose that in the market there exists another firm B, that sells gold and nickel. Firm B happens to know everything about firm A's operation costs and tries to set the selling prices (\$100/kg)  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  of gold and nickel, respectively, for firm A. The objective of firm B is to maximise the revenue from the sale of 7kg of gold plus 2kg of nickel. Firm B knows that firm A will dig out their own minerals if it is cheaper than buying off firm B. To avoid the situation that the selling price is higher than the cost of mining from mine 1 and 2, firm B shall set the price of 2kg of gold plus 1kg of nickel no greater than \$300 and that of 5kg of gold plus 4kg of nickel no greater than \$100. Formulation:

#### **Motivation**

> Optimisation problems are complex

Optimal solution is easy/hard/impossible to find

➤ Need to evaluate a solution: How?



#### Normal form of a linear program

The **normal form** of a linear program:

$$\Rightarrow$$
 min  $z = c^T x$   
 $s.t. \quad Ax \ge b,$   
 $x \ge 0,$ 

where *b* is not restricted in sign.

OR

> 
$$\max z = c^T x$$
  
s.t.  $Ax \le b$ ,  
 $x \ge 0$ ,

## Normal form of a linear program

- > Any LP can be transformed into the normal form:
- $\max z = c^T x$   $\Leftrightarrow$   $\min z' = -c^T x$

- If  $x_i$  urs  $x_i =$
- To replace " ≤ " constraint by " ≥ " constraint \_\_\_\_\_\_
- Replace " = " constraint by "\_\_\_\_ " and "\_\_\_ " constraints

# **Example 1**

#### Consider the LP:

> 
$$\max z = 3x_1 + 2x_2$$
  
s.t.  $2x_1 + 5x_2 = 7$   
 $x_1 + x_2 \ge 3$   
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ 

> Normal *minimization* form:

$$min z' =$$

s.t.

> Normal *maximization* form:

$$max z =$$

s.t.

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

#### **Dual LP**

For any LP there exists a dual LP:

$$ightharpoonup \min z = c^T x$$

$$s.t. \quad Ax \ge b, \qquad (P)$$

$$x \ge 0$$

 $x_j \in x, j = 1..n$  - primal variable, associated with jth constraint in dual problem

> 
$$\max w = b^T y$$
  
s.t.  $A^T y \le c$ ,  $(D)$   
 $y \ge 0$ 

 $y_i \in y, i=1..m$  - dual variable, associated with ith constraint in primal problem

**Example 2 - Primal LP:** A baker makes and sells two types of cakes, one is a simple cake and another is a fancy cake. Both cakes require basic ingredients (flour, sugar, eggs, etc) as well as premium ingredients such as nuts and fruits for decoration and flavour, with the fancy cake requiring more of the premium ingredients. The fancy cake production also require higher labour costs. The baker needs to maximize the profit.

Summary:

	Basic ingredien ts per batch of cakes, lb	Premium ingredients per batch of cakes, lb	Labour, per batch of cakes, hours	Profit per batch, \$
Simple cake	2	1	1	\$24
Fancy cake	3	4	2	\$14
Available ingredients	1200	1000	700	

Example 2 - Primal LP:

Summary:

Formulation:

	Basic ingredien ts per batch of cakes, lb	Premium ingredients per batch of cakes, lb	Labour, per batch of cakes, hours	Profit per batch, \$
Simple cake	2	1	1	\$24
Fancy cake	3	4	2	\$14
Available ingredients	1200	1000	700	

**Example 2 - Dual LP:** formulate the dual problem and provide the interpretation of the dual variables, objective function and constraints



#### **Dual LP**

	$\mathbf{x}^T$	
у	$\mathbf{A}$	$\geq$ b
	$\leq \mathbf{c}^T$	

In matrix form:

#### If LP is in normal form

> Symmetric dual

	$x_1$	$x_2$		$x_n$	
$y_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$		$a_{1n}$	$\geq b_1$
$y_1$ $y_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	• • •	$a_{2n}$	$\geq b_1$ $\geq b_2$
÷	:	÷	÷	÷	÷
$y_m$	$a_{m1}$	$a_{m2}$	•••	$a_{mn}$	$\geq b_m$
	$\leq c_1$	$\leq c_2$	• • •	$\leq c_n$	

#### Otherwise

- > Asymmetric dual:
- Bring primal (P) to normal form (P')
- Construct dual (D) for (P')

# **Example 2**

Primal LP:

$$\min z = 3x_1 + x_2$$
s.t.  $2x_1 + 5x_2 \ge 7$ 

$$x_1 + 4x_2 \ge 2$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

➤ Dual LP:

## **Example 4\***

> Primal LP:

$$\max z = 6x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

**s.t.**  $4x_1 + 3x_2 - 2x_3 = 1$ 

 $6x_1 - 2x_2 + 9x_3 \ge 9$ 

 $2x_1 + 3x_2 + 8x_3 \le 5$ 

 $x_1 \ge 0$ ,  $x_2 \le 0$ ,  $x_3 - urs$ 

> Dual LP:

from Linear and Non-Linear Programming by S.G.Nash and A.Sofer

# **Example 4\***

<sup>\*</sup> from Linear and Non-Linear Programming by S.G.Nash and A.Sofer



#### **Dual LP**

	$x_1$	$x_2$	• • •	$x_n$	
$y_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$	•••	$a_{1n}$	$\geq b_1$
$y_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	•••	$a_{2n}$	$\geq b_2$
$y_i$					$\leq b_i$
$\dot{\hat{y}_j}$	:	:	÷	÷	$=^{:}b_{j}$
$y_m$	$a_{m1}$	$a_{m2}$		$a_{mn}$	$\geq b_m$
	$\leq c_1$	$\leq c_2$	• • •	$\leq c_n$	

#### > Asymmetric dual:

apply the following rules:

primal/dual constraint		dual/primal variable
consistent with normal form	$\iff$	variable $\geq 0$
reversed with normal form	$\iff$	variable $\leq 0$
equality constraint	$\iff$	variable urs

## **Example 4\***

> Primal LP:

$$\max z = 6x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

**s.t.**  $4x_1 + 3x_2 - 2x_3 = 1$ 

 $6x_1 - 2x_2 + 9x_3 \ge 9$ 

 $2x_1 + 3x_2 + 8x_3 \le 5$ 

 $x_1 \ge 0$ ,  $x_2 \le 0$ ,  $x_3 - urs$ 

> Dual LP:

from Linear and Non-Linear Programming by S.G.Nash and A.Sofer

## **Duality theory**

**<u>Lemma 1</u>** The dual of the dual is the primal.

➤ Dual LP:

Example:

Primal LP:  

$$\min z = 3x_1 + x_2$$
  
s.t.  $2x_1 + 5x_2 \ge 7$   
 $x_1 + 4x_2 \ge 2$   
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ 

Show that dual of the dual is the primal problem

## Weak duality theorem

For a feasible solution x to the primal LP (P) and a feasible solution y to the dual LP (D),

$$c^T x \geq b^T y$$

In other words,  $z \ge w$ .

#### **Example**

> Primal LP:

➤ Dual LP:

$$\min z = 3x_1 + x_2$$

s.t. 
$$2x_1 + 5x_2 \ge 7$$

$$x_1 + 4x_2 \ge 2$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

Compare values of Z and W for any two feasible solutions for primal and dual problems

# Weak duality theorem



# Weak duality theorem – optimality gap

Primal LP:

> Dual LP:

$$\min z = 5x_1 + 2x_2$$

s.t. 
$$x_1 - x_2 \ge 3$$
  
 $2x_1 + 3x_2 \ge 5$ 

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

► How can we estimate <u>the optimality gap</u> based on the weak duality theorem?

#### Weak duality theorem

#### **Corollary 3**

- 1) If the primal LP is unbounded, then the dual LP is infeasible.
- 2) If the dual LP is unbounded, then the primal LP is infeasible.

#### **Example**

$$\max z = 2x_1 + 3x_2$$
s.t.  $x_1 - x_2 \le 1$ 
 $x_1 - 2x_2 \le 2$ 
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ 

# Corollary - example



#### **Strong duality theorem**

Let x be a feasible solution for primal LP (P) and y be a feasible solution of the corresponding dual LP (D). Then

$$c^T x = b^T y$$

if and only if x is an optimal solution for (P) and y is an optimal solution for (D). (We need the dual of the LP in the standard form)

#### Corollary

If an optimal solution to the dual LP (D) is obtained, an optimal solution to its primal LP (P) can be readily obtained and both optimal objective values are equal.

#### **Strong duality theorem**

**Example:** solve primal /dual of Example 2, apply the strong duality theorem to the corresponding dual/primal problem to find its optimal solution.



# **Strong duality theorem**



# **Summary**

#### Dual

Primal

	Finite optimum	unbounded	infeasible
Finite optimum			
unbounded			
infeasbile			

## **Complementary slackness theorem**

#### Theorem 6

➤ Let *x* be a feasible solution to the primal LP (P) and *y* be a feasible solution to the dual LP (D). Both solutions *x* and *y* are optimal to the primal (P) and dual (D), respectively, if and only if they satisfy

$$(c^T - y^T A)x = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y^T (b - Ax) = 0 \tag{2}$$

#### **Complementary slackness theorem**

**Example 7**: consider the LP

min 
$$z = 2x_1 + 2x_2$$
  
s.t.  $2x_1 + x_2 \ge 6$   
 $x_1 + 2x_2 \ge 6$   
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ 

Solve the dual problem and, with the Complementary slackness Theorem, obtain the solution for the primal problem.

# **Complementary slackness theorem**

from Linear and Non-Linear Programming by S.G.Nash and A.Sofer



#### **Dual Simplex method - summary**

Primal Simplex – finding series of solutions satisfying primal feasibility condition

$$x_b = B^{-1}b \ge 0$$

till finds a solution satisfying *primal* optimality condition:

$$\widehat{c_N^T} \le 0$$
 (if primal is a min problem),

<u>Dual Simplex</u> – finding series of solutions satisfying dual feasibility condition till finds a solution satisfying dual optimality condition:

$$\widehat{b_N^T} \ge 0$$
(dual is a max problem then)

- <u>Tableau:</u> working out in reverse order finding the leaving variable first.
- Use for
  - 1) generating initial bfs and
  - 2) recovering feasibility

#### **Dual Simplex method**

> Step 1 (feasibility test) If  $\hat{b} = B^{-1} \ b \ge 0$ , then STOP – current primal bfs is feasible;

Otherwise, select the variable  $(x_B)_s$  whose rhs  $\hat{b}_s$  is the most negative among components of  $\hat{b}$ . This component of the primal basis will be *leaving* 

Step 2 (entering) If all entries  $\widehat{a_{sj}}$  in the row corresponding to the leaving variable  $(x_B)_s$  are non-negative  $(\widehat{a_{sj}} \ge 0$ , for any j=1,2,...,n), then STOP – the considered LP (the primal) is infeasible. Otherwise, find

$$t = \arg\min_{j} \left\{ \left| \frac{\widehat{c_{j}}}{\widehat{a_{sj}}} \right| : \widehat{a_{sj}} < 0 \right\}$$

> Step 3 (pivoting) Update the tableau by pivoting on  $\widehat{a_{sj}}$ , i.e. perform EROs on the tableau to get a 1 in the pivot position, and 0s above and below it. GO TO Step 1.

# **Generating initial bfs**

#### > Example 9

$$\max z = 4x_1 + 5x_2$$
s.t. 
$$2x_1 + 3x_2 \le 6$$

$$3x_1 + x_2 \ge 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

## **Recovering the Feasibility**

#### Example 10

$$\max z = 0.1x_1 + 0.15x_2$$
s.t. 
$$x_1 + x_2 \le 100000$$

$$-\frac{3}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 \le 0$$

$$-\frac{3}{2}x_1 + x_2 \le 0$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

# **Dual Simplex method – examples\***

Use dual Simplex method to solve:

min 
$$z = 5x_1 + 4x_2$$
  
s.t.  $4x_1 + 3x_2 \ge 10$   
 $3x_1 - 5x_2 \ge 12$   
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ 

from Linear and Non-Linear Programming by S.G.Nash and A.Sofer



#### **Dual Simplex method – examples\***

Use dual Simplex method to solve:

max 
$$z = -2x_1 - 7x_2 - 6x_3 - 5x_4$$
  
s.t.  $2x_1 - 3x_2 - 5x_3 - 4x_4 \ge 20$   
 $7x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3 - 2x_4 \ge 35$   
 $4x_1 + 5x_2 - 3x_3 - 2x_4 \ge 15$   
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$ 

from Linear and Non-Linear Programming by S.G.Nash and A.Sofer

